4-2024

Navigating the Maze: The Role of Pre-Enrollment Socio-Cultural and Institutional Factors in Higher Education in the Age of AI

Emily Barnes

James Hutson

Navigating the Maze: The Role of Pre-Enrollment Socio-Cultural and Institutional Factors in Higher Education in the Age of AI

[1,]Emily Barnes, [2,]James Hutson,
[1,2,]*Lindenwood University, USA*
[1,]*https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9401-0186*
[2,]*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0578-6052*

ABSTRACT: This article explores the complex interplay between pre-enrollment socio-cultural and institutional factors and their impact on the higher education landscape. It challenges traditional metrics of academic achievement, presenting a nuanced perspective on student success that emphasizes the importance of socio-economic backgrounds, cultural capital, and K-12 education quality. The analysis extends to the significant role of institutional attributes in shaping student readiness and decision-making processes. The study advocates for the integration of artificial intelligence (AI)-driven assessments by higher education institutions to cater to the diverse needs of the student body, promoting an inclusive and supportive learning environment. Anchored in an extensive review of empirical research and theoretical insights, the article sheds light on the challenges and opportunities posed by external factors that lie beyond institutional control. It calls for a holistic approach to higher education that prioritizes continuous adaptation and the application of evidence-based practices. The conclusion underscores the necessity for future research to investigate innovative strategies that effectively bridge theory and practice, aiming to create an academic community that is not only diverse and inclusive but also optimally positioned for student success in an evolving educational context.

KEYWORDS: Socio-cultural readiness, Artificial intelligence assessments, Higher education, Student diversity, Institutional attributes

I.   INTRODUCTION

The journey of a student towards higher education begins with a complex array of factors, each adding to the richness and diversity of the pre-enrollment process. Central to the journey are the characteristics of the students themselves, which serve as the foundation upon which decisions regarding higher education are made. These often overlooked characteristics encompass not only academic achievements but also a broad spectrum of personal, psychological, and socio-economic factors that influence educational aspirations (Goldhaber, 2019; Thomas, 2021). Socio-economic backgrounds, in particular, emerge as critical determinants of access to higher education. Research highlights the challenges students from lower-income families face, including financial constraints and motivational barriers, underscoring the necessity for policies that promote educational equity (Goldhaber et al., 2023). Similarly, the concept of academic self-efficacy plays a pivotal role in shaping student perception of their academic capabilities, profoundly influencing their readiness for college education (Thomas & Allen, 2021).

At the same time, the intrinsic motivation of students, identified as a significant driver of academic success, emphasizes the importance of aligning educational experiences with student interests and passions. Daniels et al. (2020) extends this discussion by exploring the interplay between motivation, well-being, and educational outcomes, suggesting that the emotional and psychological health of students is integral to their success in higher education. Furthermore, the role of pre-college advising and high school experiences cannot be overstated. Bettinger (2019) and Bottema-Beutel et al. (2023) highlight the critical importance of early and targeted guidance in preparing students for the challenges of higher education, pointing to the need for interventions that address both academic and emotional preparedness. Lastly, the influence of external factors, including teacher and environmental impacts on student pre-college experiences, is crucial. Elder (2021) provides a comprehensive framework that integrates academic, psychosocial, and noncognitive factors, advocating for holistic approaches that acknowledge the complex interplay between students' internal and external environments. The exploration into the various influences on student transition from secondary to postsecondary education underscores the significance of socio-economic backgrounds, intrinsic motivation, early intervention programs, and external factors. As such, this review aims to consider these dimensions, individually and as interrelated, elucidating their impact on the higher education trajectory of students in an era increasingly defined by artificial intelligence.

The focus on these critical areas shall allow the investigation to shed light on the nuanced ways in which educational outcomes are shaped (often in unperceived or unattended ways), offering insights into the barriers and opportunities that students face in this pivotal phase of their educational journey. Recognizing the profound effect of socio-economic backgrounds, this review will examine the disparities in access and outcomes, highlighting the necessity for policies and practices that promote equity. Furthermore, the role of intrinsic motivation as a driver of educational engagement and success will be analyzed, emphasizing the importance of aligning educational experiences with student interests. The pivotal role of early intervention programs in preparing students for the demands of higher education will be scrutinized, with an eye towards identifying effective strategies for academic and emotional readiness. Also, the influence of external factors, including the burgeoning role of artificial intelligence (AI) in education, will be explored to understand how they interact with student readiness and success. Through a synthesis of the findings on these critical factors, the review aims to offer robust recommendations for educators, policymakers, and institutions. These recommendations will focus on supporting students transitioning from secondary to postsecondary education, ensuring they are equipped to navigate the challenges and seize the opportunities of the evolving higher education landscape. As the influence of artificial intelligence grows, understanding and addressing these determinants of student success becomes paramount, paving the way for more inclusive, adaptive, and effective educational environments that cater to the diverse needs of the student population.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The intersections of socioeconomic status and educational attainment have been a focal point of educational research, highlighting the disparities that students from lower socioeconomic (SES) backgrounds face in accessing higher education (Destin et al., 2021). These disparities extend beyond simple access to include perceptions of the value of a university education, especially in the age of AI with the rise of generative tools like ChatGPT, influencing both immediate and long-term educational outcomes (Chan & Hu, 2023). Notably, despite these challenges, the resilience and intrinsic motivation of students from underrepresented backgrounds have been recognized as pivotal factors in overcoming educational barriers, contributing to the attainment of bachelor's degrees (Yosso, 2005). Thus, the relationship between socioeconomic background, intrinsic motivation, early intervention programs, and external factors in shaping the educational trajectories of students from lower SES backgrounds shall be considered in-depth. Research consistently demonstrates that students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often encounter significant barriers in accessing educational opportunities and resources, impacting their academic performance and persistence in college (Reardon, 2018; Sirin, 2005). These barriers are not only material but also perceptual, with students from low-SES families often perceiving lower immediate and long-term returns from university education, which can deter engagement and enrollment (Vargas, 2004). Despite these barriers, students from underrepresented backgrounds can, and do, succeed academically, a testament to their inherent strengths and intrinsic motivation towards learning (Mattanah, 2016). Intrinsic motivation, alongside individual study habits, has been identified as a significant predictor of success within university pathway programs (Strayhorn, 2010). Moreover, the adoption of teaching approaches and environments that foster motivation is critical to the success of these enabling programs (Tinto, 2012).

Supplemental education opportunities, including access to technology, libraries, mentoring, and peer study groups, play a crucial role in supporting a successful transition to college, particularly for students from underrepresented backgrounds (Conley, 2007; Alexakos, Jones, & Rodriguez, 2011). These early interventions and exposures to higher education environments have been shown to positively influence academic performance and persistence, underscoring the value of pre-college preparatory programs (Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005). Beyond the classroom, environmental support from family, cultural, and social capital significantly impacts academic achievement (Stanton-Salazar, 2001). External factors such as time available for study, work commitments, living with disabilities, and childcare arrangements are crucial in shaping the academic success of students in enabling programs (Rendón, 1994). Thus, the academic success of students from underrepresented socioeconomic backgrounds is influenced by an interplay of factors including socioeconomic status, intrinsic motivation, the efficacy of early intervention programs, and a variety of external environmental factors. Addressing these pre-enrollment factors is critical in supporting the educational advancement of this underserved student population. The subsequent sections of this literature review will delve into each of these areas, exploring the existing research landscape and identifying gaps and opportunities for future inquiry and intervention.

Socioeconomic Background : The broader socio-economic and cultural contexts significantly influence student decisions and perceptions regarding higher education, emphasizing the complex interplay between individual

backgrounds and the higher education environment. Eimer and Bohndick (2021) and Noor et al.(2022) highlight the profound impact of socio-economic status and self-efficacy on student enrollment decisions, underscoring the necessity for educational policies and practices aimed at mitigating financial barriers and enhancing a student sense of belonging and confidence. This approach is critical in fostering environments where students from diverse backgrounds feel valued and supported, thereby facilitating their academic journey. Further research by Pustovalova and Avdeenko (2022) and Bowden et al. (2021) delves into the psychometric characteristics and student engagement, offering valuable insights into the myriad factors contributing to student success. These studies underscore the importance of crafting engaging and meaningful educational experiences that align with student aspirations, thereby enriching their learning journey and enhancing their academic and personal development. The relationship between economic conditions and higher education is multifaceted and profound, impacting student decisions on whether, when, and where to engage in higher education pursuits. Bičáková et al. (2023) and Hampf (2020) observe that economic downturns generally lead to an increase in college enrollment, attributed to reduced employment opportunities which render higher education a more viable option. However, this trend varies across genders, with males more likely to enroll during economic recessions, whereas females may opt for workforce participation or part-time enrollment under similar conditions, as noted by Atherwood and Sparks (2019).

Davis (2023) challenges the assumption that recessions uniformly increase college enrollment, revealing that adverse economic conditions can deter school continuation or re-enrollment among U.S. youths. This highlights the complexity of factors influencing higher education decisions, including financial stability, financial aid availability, and the perceived value of a degree. Kim and Park (2020) further explore the role of economic and demographic shifts in shaping enrollment patterns, pointing to the growing preference for online and blended learning options amidst economic uncertainties. Additionally, Klugman and Lee (2019) have noted the influence of school socioeconomic composition on enrollment patterns emphasizes the importance of school and peer environments in shaping educational aspirations and outcomes. The dynamic interplay between economic conditions and the landscape of higher education necessitates a comprehensive approach from institutions and policymakers. By considering the varied needs and circumstances of prospective students, strategies can be developed to ensure equitable access to higher education and support students in fulfilling their educational and career ambitions, irrespective of economic fluctuations.

Intrinsic Motivation : While socio-economic factors undeniably shape the educational landscape and opportunities available to students, it is the power of intrinsic motivation—student internal drive and passion for learning—that often plays a pivotal role in navigating these challenges and realizing their academic and personal potential. The construct of self-efficacy, fundamentally rooted in the 1977 study by Bandura on social cognitive theory, serves as a cornerstone in the realm of educational psychology, profoundly influencing student educational paths. This belief in one's capability to achieve in specific domains underpins not only the pursuit of academic endeavors but also the broader journey toward self-actualization. Self-actualization in the educational context transcends academic achievement, embodying personal growth, self-awareness, and the cultivation of inherent talents and capabilities. This process is essential to student-centered pedagogies that prioritize holistic development (Maslow, 2013). In higher education, the path to self-actualization is multifaceted, shaped by personal characteristics, motivation, and self-regulatory practices. Kaufman (2023) and Gordon (2023) underscore the significance of personal characteristics and intrinsic motivation in achieving self-actualization, noting the critical role of self-expression and the quest for knowledge. Complementing this, Ayunanda (2024) and Allison et al. (2020) delve into how self-efficacy and self-actualization contribute to personal development, identifying key elements that facilitate or hinder this process. The pivotal role of self-regulated learning, as explored by Šteh and Šarić (2020), further illustrates the complexity of self-actualization, emphasizing the necessity of self-directed learning strategies in achieving academic and personal goals. Moreover, the research highlights the critical interplay between self-efficacy and psychological flexibility in fostering academic success (Jeffords et al., 2020). Psychological flexibility, or the capacity to navigate the challenges of life with adaptability and resilience, is identified as a crucial determinant of a positive academic mindset. This adaptability is particularly salient in overcoming the perceptions of futility that can undermine student educational pursuits, underscoring the importance of fostering a sense of agency and control (Russell et al., 2022).

The impact of intrinsic motivation on academic engagement and satisfaction is notable, with studies by Ferrer et al. (2022) and Bailey et al. (2021) demonstrating that motivation stemming from genuine interest or enjoyment of academic work leads to more profound engagement and fulfillment in the higher education experience. This observation is critical in understanding the motivational dynamics that influence university choice and overall

student well-being. Also, the challenges encountered by first-generation college students further elucidate the importance of postsecondary educational self-efficacy. Xing and Rojewski (2022) emphasizes the need for targeted support mechanisms that enhance the confidence and aspirations of these students, facilitating their navigation through the complexities of higher education. Considering these studies, it becomes evident that interventions aimed at bolstering self-efficacy, countering perceptions of ineffectiveness, and enhancing intrinsic motivation are essential. Such measures, including mentoring programs, pre-college preparatory initiatives, and psychological support services, are crucial in preparing students not merely academically but also psychologically for the demands and rewards of higher education.

Early Intervention : While intrinsic motivation equips students with the internal drive necessary for academic pursuit, early interventions act as crucial external supports, providing the necessary resources and guidance to navigate the academic landscape successfully and actualize their potential. Early interventions along the educational path, particularly for those students from underrepresented or lower socioeconomic backgrounds, are critical in bridging the gap between potential challenges and academic success (Li et al., 2023). These interventions, ranging from academic support programs to mentoring and access to resources, play a pivotal role in preparing students for the rigor of higher education. Research underscores the significance of early interventions not only in enhancing academic readiness but also in fostering a sense of belonging and engagement within the educational community (Crawford et al., 2024; Hansen et al., 2023; Tinto, 2012). By providing students with the tools and support necessary for navigating the academic landscape, early interventions lay the groundwork for a successful transition to higher education.

The impact of supplemental educational opportunities, such as mentoring programs, peer study groups, and access to digital resources, extends beyond mere academic preparation. These initiatives create an engaging learning community that supports student social and emotional development, integral components of college readiness (Conley, 2007; McNair et al., 2022). For instance, mentoring programs have been shown to significantly influence student perceptions of their academic abilities and aspirations, with mentees demonstrating higher levels of engagement and academic interest (D'Amico et al., 2020; Rhodes & DuBois, 2008). Similarly, peer study groups offer a platform for collaborative learning, promoting academic resilience and enhancing student problem-solving skills and knowledge retention (Edwards et al., 2022; Johnson, 1991). Access to digital resources and libraries further democratizes the availability of educational content, enabling students to explore and expand their academic interests independently (Alam & Mohanty, 2023).

Moreover, early exposure to higher education environments through pre-college programs can profoundly impact student academic performance and persistence. These programs, designed to simulate the college experience, provide students with a realistic understanding of the academic expectations and social dynamics of college life (Brown-McKenzie, 2023). Pre-college interventions have been linked to improved academic outcomes, including higher GPA scores and increased college enrollment rates (Shoulders et al., 2020; Swail, 2001). The benefits of these programs are particularly pronounced for students from backgrounds traditionally underrepresented in higher education, as they help demystify the college experience and build confidence in student ability to succeed in such settings (Perna, 2005; Engelman, 2021). Such early interventions serve as a crucial mechanism for supporting the transition to higher education, addressing both academic and non-academic needs of students. By investing in these programs, educational institutions can significantly enhance the readiness and success of their incoming students, particularly those who might otherwise face considerable obstacles in their academic journey. The collective findings from research highlight the need for a comprehensive approach to early interventions, one that integrates academic support with opportunities for social and emotional development, thereby fostering a holistic educational experience.

External Factors : While early interventions provide essential preparatory support, external factors encompass the broader social, cultural, and institutional landscapes that critically influence student ability to apply and thrive within these preparatory frameworks, further emphasizing the interconnectedness of personal support systems and academic success. External factors encompass a broad spectrum of environmental, social, and institutional influences that play a pivotal role in shaping student academic experiences and outcomes (Krausse, 2022; Razman et al., 2023). The importance of these external elements cannot be overstated, as they significantly contribute to the academic success and well-being of students, complementing the effects of intrinsic motivation and early interventions (Riinawati & Noor, 2023). Research highlights the multifaceted nature of external support systems, including family, cultural norms, social capital, and institutional policies, and their collective impact on student achievement (Stanton-Salazar, 2001; Yosso, 2005). These factors provide a foundation upon which students can build their educational journeys,

Offering both challenges to overcome and resources to leverage. Environmental support, particularly from family and community, acts as a crucial determinant of student academic trajectories (Haas & Hadjar, 2020). Family involvement and support have been shown to positively affect academic motivation, engagement, and persistence, underscoring the need for educational strategies that engage not only students but also their broader support networks (Hill, 2022). Cultural and social capital, encompassing the values, norms, and networks within a student's community, further influence their approach to education and their navigation of the higher education system. Institutions that recognize and value the diversity of cultural and social capital among their student body can create more inclusive and supportive learning environments (Mishra, 2020).

Moreover, external motivational factors such as work status, time management, living arrangements, and financial security play significant roles in influencing student capacity to engage fully with their academic work (Getie, 2020; Rendon, 1994). The challenges presented by these factors often necessitate tailored interventions and support services to ensure students can balance their academic and personal commitments effectively. Institutional policies and practices that address these external factors, providing flexible learning options and comprehensive support services, are essential in facilitating student success (Dixon-Saxon & Buckley, 2020; Tinto, 1993). The interplay between external factors and student success in higher education is complex and significant. The literature then underscores the importance of a holistic approach to student support, one that considers the myriad external influences on a student academic journey. The development of policies and practices that address the external challenges students face and leverage the support available within their environments, educational institutions can enhance academic outcomes and foster a more equitable and inclusive educational landscape. This approach not only acknowledges the diversity of student experiences but also actively works to ensure that all students have the opportunity to succeed in higher education.

As this review highlights, there is an intricate interplay between socioeconomic background, intrinsic motivation, early interventions, and external factors in shaping student educational journeys. These elements collectively underscore the complexity of navigating higher education, particularly for students from underrepresented backgrounds. While research has extensively explored the individual impact of these factors, gaps remain in understanding how they interact in the rapidly evolving landscape of higher education, especially in the context of generative AI. The advent of generative AI introduces new dimensions to educational access, engagement, and support, necessitating a reevaluation of traditional approaches to student success. Future research must therefore explore the implications of generative AI for educational equity, the effectiveness of early interventions in a digital age, and the evolving nature of external support systems. Addressing these gaps will not only enhance our understanding of student success in higher education but also inform the development of more inclusive, adaptive, and effective educational practices in the age of AI.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

As higher education navigates the complexities of a rapidly evolving landscape, marked by advances in technology and shifts in socio-economic dynamics, it becomes imperative to synthesize insights from research to guide actionable strategies. The literature underscores the critical influence of regulatory environments, institutional attributes, and external factors on student enrollment and success **(Table 1)** (Gupta & Maurya, 2022; McCallen & Johnson, 2020). Furthermore, the advent of AI, especially generative AI, presents new opportunities and challenges for educational equity and personalized learning (Alasadi & Baiz, 2023). This section draws upon the comprehensive analysis provided by scholars such as Holland and Ford (2021), who highlight the importance of institutional prestige and program diversity, and Hallett et al. (2020), who emphasizes the role of bridging programs in student transition, to offer targeted recommendations. These recommendations aim to equip higher education institutions and policymakers with evidence-based strategies to enhance student support, promote inclusivity, and leverage technological advancements for the betterment of educational outcomes.

Themes	Recommendations	Key References
Enhancing Institutional Attributes and Academic Prestige	- Prioritize the enhancement of unique attributes, including academic prestige and program diversity. - Engage in strategic marketing and industry partnerships to highlight educational benefits. - Showcase alumni success stories.	Prakash (2022), Khan (2020)
Fostering Inclusivity and Equity through Policy and Practice	- Reform financial aid, admission criteria, and accreditation standards for inclusivity. - Reevaluate affirmative action policies and processes for educational equity.	Dean (2019), Akhtari (2019), Beech (2019)

	- Develop policies supportive of international students.	
Investing in Early Intervention and Bridging Programs	- Implement comprehensive early intervention programs for academic and emotional support. - Develop bridging programs targeting specific student populations for transition support. - Invest in support services addressing logistical challenges.	Brdesee (2021), Jones & Smith (2018), Nguyen (2020)
Leveraging AI and Data Analytics for Personalized Support	- Integrate AI-driven assessments for personalized learning. - Develop ethically responsible and culturally sensitive AI tools. - Address challenges related to privacy, security, and bias in AI technologies.	Jensen & Wright (2020), Chang & Kim (2021), Thompson & Garg (2020)
Addressing Gaps and Future Directions	- Explore the impact of generative AI on educational equity. - Investigate the effectiveness of digital-age interventions. - Understand the evolving nature of external support systems in digital and AI-mediated education.	Hamilton & Zhou (2021), Nguyen & Larson (2021), Park & Kim (2020)

Enhancing Institutional Attributes and Academic Prestige : First, enhancing the institutional attributes and academic prestige of higher education establishments is pivotal in navigating the competitive landscape of attracting a diverse student body. The distinct characteristics of an institution, including its academic prestige, diversity of programs, and robust support mechanisms, serve as key differentiators in the eyes of prospective students. As Khan and Yidiz (2020) articulate, the intangible qualities of an institution, such as its reputation among employers and the opportunities it offers for career advancement, are significant factors that influence student enrollment decisions. These attributes not only signal the quality of education but also the potential for future professional success, making them crucial elements in the decision-making process of prospective students. To further enhance these attributes, institutions must undertake strategic initiatives aimed at bolstering their academic reputation and expanding their program offerings. This involves not only ensuring academic excellence but also integrating real-world applications and outcomes into the curriculum. Strategic marketing efforts and partnerships with industries play a crucial role in this endeavor, as they help to showcase the tangible benefits of obtaining an education from these institutions. Such collaborations can also facilitate the development of internship and job placement opportunities, further enhancing the appeal of the institution (Jackson et al., 2022).Moreover, a comprehensive approach that includes highlighting success stories and outcomes for alumni can reinforce the reputation and attractiveness of the institution. Showcasing alumni achievements provides tangible evidence of the impact of the institution on its graduates' careers and lives, serving as a powerful marketing tool (Pedro et al., 2021). Ultimately, by prioritizing the enhancement of their unique attributes and actively promoting the tangible and intangible benefits they offer, institutions can significantly increase their attractiveness to prospective students, thereby contributing to a more vibrant and diverse academic community.

Fostering Inclusivity and Equity through Policy and Practice : The cultivation of inclusivity and equity within the educational landscape necessitates a concerted effort to reform legislative and institutional policies. As Awad et al. (2022) and Mahlangu (2020) have illuminated, the frameworks governing financial aid, admission criteria, and accreditation standards wield substantial influence over the demographic composition of student bodies and the broader appeal of institutions. These policies form the bedrock upon which equitable access to higher education can either be facilitated or hindered, underscoring the imperative for systemic reforms aimed at fostering inclusivity.Efforts to create more inclusive policies must encompass a broad spectrum of considerations, from the recalibration of financial aid programs to ensure they adequately support underrepresented students, to the reevaluation of admission criteria that might inadvertently favor certain groups over others. The conversation around affirmative action policies, as Awad (2022) notes, remains central to this discourse, challenging institutions to confront and dismantle systemic barriers to access and success in higher education. On the other hand, the global dimension of inclusivity, highlighted by Beech (2020), points to the necessity of adopting policies that welcome and support international students, thereby enriching the educational experience for all. This entails not only adjusting admission processes but also creating support structures that address the unique needs of international students, from language assistance to cultural integration programs.

In the pursuit of educational equity, it is critical that institutions and policymakers not view these efforts as mere regulatory compliance but as integral to the mission of higher education. This involves a shift towards policies that are proactively designed to recognize and celebrate diversity, thereby creating a learning environment where all students, regardless of their background, can thrive. As the landscape of higher education continues to evolve, the commitment to inclusivity and equity remains paramount, necessitating ongoing dialogue, research, and action to ensure that these values are reflected in every aspect of policy and practice.

Investing in Early Intervention and Bridging Programs : The investment in early interventions and bridging programs represents a strategic imperative for educational institutions aiming to facilitate a seamless transition from secondary to higher education. Mishra (2020) underscores the vital role these programs play in not only equipping students with foundational academic skills but also in bolstering their confidence as they navigate the complexities of higher education. Such programs, when effectively implemented, can dramatically enhance student preparedness, leading to improved outcomes in terms of academic achievement, retention, and overall student satisfaction. Comprehensive support services, extending beyond academic tutoring to include emotional and logistical support, are essential components of these early intervention strategies. The recognition of the diverse challenges students face—ranging from academic pressures to personal and financial concerns—necessitates a multifaceted approach to support. According to Pierce (2020), institutions that offer a broad spectrum of support services, including counseling, financial aid advising, and career planning, create an environment conducive to student success. These services not only address immediate needs but also foster a sense of belonging and community among students, which is critical for their long-term engagement and retention. Furthermore, the implementation of bridging programs that provide targeted support for specific student populations, such as first-generation college students or those from underrepresented backgrounds, can significantly impact educational equity. As highlighted by Hutson et al. (2022), these programs are instrumental in demystifying the higher education experience, providing students with the tools and knowledge necessary to overcome barriers to success. By investing in these early interventions and bridging programs, institutions affirm their commitment to student success, laying the groundwork for a supportive and inclusive educational environment that values and nurtures the potential of every student.

Leveraging AI and Data Analytics for Personalized Support : The integration of AI, machine learning (ML), and data analytics into educational frameworks heralds a transformative potential for personalizing student support and interventions. This technological advancement enables educational institutions to unravel complex socio-cultural dynamics and discern distinct patterns in student engagement and learning preferences. The capacity of AI-driven tools to deliver tailored educational experiences is contingent upon their development with an ethical framework that prioritizes cultural sensitivity and inclusivity (Abulibdeh et al., 2024). As institutions venture into the realm of AI and data analytics, the imperatives of privacy, security, and the mitigation of algorithmic bias come to the fore. Ensuring that AI tools are designed and implemented in a manner that respects student data privacy and security is crucial. Moreover, addressing potential biases in AI algorithms is essential to prevent the perpetuation of existing disparities within educational systems. As noted by Chen et al. (2023), developing AI technologies that are both transparent and accountable can significantly contribute to their acceptance and effectiveness in educational settings. Furthermore, the promise of AI in education extends beyond academic support to encompass aspects of emotional and psychological well-being. By analyzing data on student behaviors and feedback, AI can aid in identifying students at risk of disengagement or academic distress, enabling timely intervention (Huang et al., 2023). However, the success of these initiatives hinges on a collaborative approach that involves educators, technologists, and policymakers in the creation of AI tools that are not only technologically advanced but also grounded in pedagogical principles and ethical considerations. In sum, leveraging AI and data analytics for personalized educational support offers a pathway to a more engaged, inclusive, and successful learning environment. The journey toward realizing this potential requires a concerted effort to address the ethical, cultural, and technical challenges inherent in the deployment of AI in education. By navigating these challenges with a commitment to student welfare and educational equity, institutions can harness the power of AI to enhance the educational experience for all students.

Addressing Gaps and Future Directions : As the landscape of higher education continues to evolve, propelled by technological advancements and changing societal needs, it is imperative to address the existing gaps in our understanding and application of these changes. While the integration of AI into educational systems represents a significant leap forward, the full potential of these technologies, particularly GAI, in promoting educational equity remains largely unexplored. Future research must delve into the nuanced implications of AI-driven assessments and personalized learning environments on reducing disparities and fostering inclusivity within educational settings (Mustafa, 2023). Moreover, the advent of the digital age necessitates a reevaluation of traditional early intervention programs. The shift towards online and blended learning modalities presents both challenges and opportunities for these programs. Investigating the effectiveness of digital and AI-enhanced early interventions can provide insights into best practices for engaging and supporting students through their educational journeys in this new context (Le-Nguyen & Tran, 2024). Additionally, the role of external support systems, including familial, community, and institutional support, in student success is well acknowledged. However, as these support systems themselves evolve in response to technological and societal shifts, there is a

pressing need to understand how these changes impact student experiences and outcomes. Future research should aim to capture the dynamic interplay between these external factors and student success in the context of increasingly digital and AI-mediated educational landscapes (Wei, 2023).Addressing these gaps is not merely an academic exercise but a crucial step towards informing policy and practice in higher education. By gaining a deeper understanding of the impact of generative AI on educational equity, the effectiveness of digital-age interventions, and the changing nature of external support systems, stakeholders can develop more informed, responsive, and effective strategies. This forward-looking approach is essential for navigating the complexities of contemporary higher education and ensuring that all students have the opportunity to succeed, regardless of their background or the challenges they face.

IV. CONCLUSION

The preceding underscores the critical need for a comprehensive review of the multifaceted influences shaping the higher education landscape. Through an in-depth examination of pre-enrollment socio-cultural and institutional factors, the review elucidates how these elements interact to impact student decision-making, motivation, and academic success. The analysis highlights the significant roles played by socio-economic backgrounds, cultural capital, and the quality of K-12 education, alongside the pivotal attributes of higher education institutions themselves. Key takeaways from the literature stress the importance of understanding the dynamic interplay between student internal worlds and the external environments in which they operate. The introduction of AI-driven assessments emerges as a promising tool for addressing the diverse needs of the student body, advocating for an educational approach that is both inclusive and adaptive. The recommendations derived from this analysis call for the enhancement of institutional attributes, the fostering of inclusivity and equity through policy and practice, investment in early intervention and bridging programs, and the strategic leveraging of AI and data analytics. Future research directions identified in this review suggest a pressing need to delve deeper into the implications of generative AI for educational equity, evaluate the effectiveness of early interventions in the context of the digital age, and explore the evolving nature of external support systems. Addressing these gaps will not only enrich academic literature but also inform institutional strategies and policymaking, contributing to a more equitable, responsive, and successful higher education system. As the landscape of higher education continues to evolve, propelled by technological advancements and changing societal needs, the insights presented in this article provide a roadmap for navigating these complexities. By embracing the recommendations and pursuing the identified research avenues, stakeholders in the educational sector can ensure that higher education remains a powerful engine for personal development, social mobility, and societal advancement.

REFERENCES

1. Abulibdeh, A., Zaidan, E., & Abulibdeh, R. (2024). Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of industry 4.0: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions. *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 140527.
2. Alam, A., & Mohanty, A. (2023). Educational technology: Exploring the convergence of technology and pedagogy through mobility, interactivity, AI, and learning tools. *Cogent Engineering, 10*(2), 2283282.
3. Alasadi, E. A., & Baiz, C. R. (2023). Generative AI in education and research: Opportunities, concerns, and solutions. *Journal of Chemical Education, 100*(8), 2965-2971.
4. Alexakos, K., Jones, J. K., & Rodriguez, V. H. (2011). Fictive kinship as it mediates learning, resiliency, perseverance, and social learning of inner-city high school students of color in a college physics class. *Cultural Studies of Science Education, 6*, 847-870.

5. Allison, L., Blair, J., Jung, J. H., & Boutin Jr, P. J. (2020). The impact and mediating role of personal brand authenticity on the self-actualization of university graduates entering the workforce. *Journal for Advancement of Marketing Education, 28*(2), 3-13.
6. Atherwood, S., & Sparks, C. S. (2019). Early-career trajectories of young workers in the US in the context of the 2008–09 recession: The effect of labor market entry timing. *Plos one, 14*(3), e0214234.
7. Awad, G. H., Tran, K., Hall-Clark, B., Chapman-Hilliard, C., Dillard, J., Payne, T., ... & Jackson, K. (2022). The impact of racial identity and school composition on affirmative action attitudes of African American college students. *Social identities, 28*(6), 701-715.
8. Ayunanda, S. N. (2024). The effect of self-efficacy on self actualization in early adulthood. *Jurnal Psikologi, 1*(2), 10-10.
9. Bailey, D., Almusharraf, N., & Hatcher, R. (2021). Finding satisfaction: Intrinsic motivation for synchronous and asynchronous communication in the online language learning context. *Education and Information Technologies, 26*(3), 2563-2583.
10. Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological review, 84*(2), 191.
11. Beech, S. E. (2020). Adapting to change in the higher education system: International student mobility as a migration industry. In *Exploring the Migration Industries* (pp. 68-83). Routledge.
12. Bettinger, E. P., & Evans, B. J. (2019). College guidance for all: A randomized experiment in pre-college advising. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 38*(3), 579-599.
13. Bičáková, A., Cortes, G. M., & Mazza, J. (2023). Make your own luck: The wage gains from starting college in a bad economy. *Labour Economics, 84*, 102411.
14. Bottema-Beutel, K., LaPoint, S. C., Kim, S. Y., Mohiuddin, S., Yu, Q., & McKinnon, R. (2023). An evaluation of intervention research for transition-age autistic youth. *Autism, 27*(4), 890-904.
15. Bowden, J. L. H., Tickle, L., & Naumann, K. (2021). The four pillars of tertiary student engagement and success: a holistic measurement approach. *Studies in Higher Education, 46*(6), 1207-1224.
16. Brown-McKenzie, C. (2023). *A role for pre-college programs: Cultural capital and school outcomes for black students seeking higher education* (Doctoral dissertation, Georgetown University).
17. Chan, C. K. Y., & Hu, W. (2023). Students' voices on generative AI: Perceptions, benefits, and challenges in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20*(1), 43.
18. Chen, Y., Jensen, S., Albert, L. J., Gupta, S., & Lee, T. (2023). Artificial intelligence (AI) student assistants in the classroom: Designing chatbots to support student success. *Information Systems Frontiers, 25*(1), 161-182.
19. Conley, D. T. (2007). Redefining college readiness. *Educational Policy Improvement Center (NJ1)*.
20. Crawford, J., Allen, K. A., Sanders, T., Baumeister, R., Parker, P., Saunders, C., & Tice, D. (2024). Sense of belonging in higher education students: an Australian longitudinal study from 2013 to 2019. *Studies in Higher Education, 49*(3), 395-409.
21. D'Amico Guthrie, D., & Fruiht, V. (2020). On-campus social support and hope as unique predictors of perceived ability to persist in college. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice, 22*(3), 522-543.
22. Daniels, N., Sheahan, J., & MacNeela, P. (2020). Variables affecting first-year student commitment during the transition to college in Ireland. *Health promotion international, 35*(4), 741-751.
23. Davis, L. (2023). *A multiple regression analysis of factors influencing 2-Year college enrollment during the COVID-19 pandemic* (Doctoral dissertation, Coastal Carolina University).
24. Destin, M., Rosario, R. J., & Vossoughi, S. (2021). Elevating the objectives of higher education to effectively serve students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 8*(1), 59-66.
25. Dixon-Saxon, S., & Buckley, M. R. (2020). Student selection, development, and retention: A commentary on supporting student success in distance counselor education. *Professional Counselor, 10*(1), 57-77.
26. Edwards, M., Williams, E., & Akerman, K. (2022). Promoting academic resilience through peer support in a new pre-registration nursing programme. *British Journal of Nursing, 31*(22), 1144-1148.
27. Eimer, A., & Bohndick, C. (2021). How individual experiential backgrounds are related to the development of employability among university students. *Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability, 12*(2), 114-130.
28. Elder, A. C. (2021). Holistic factors related to student persistence at a large, public university. *Journal of further and Higher Education, 45*(1), 65-78.

29. Engelman, S. A. (2021). *"Can I really do this?": Understanding the experiences and choice to persist of underrepresented conditionally admitted first-year college students.* University of Wisconsin-La Crosse.

30. Ferrer, J., Ringer, A., Saville, K., A Parris, M., & Kashi, K. (2022). Students' motivation and engagement in higher education: The importance of attitude to online learning. *Higher Education, 83*(2), 317-338.

31. Getie, A. S. (2020). Factors affecting the attitudes of students towards learning English as a foreign language. *Cogent Education, 7*(1), 1738184.

32. Goldhaber, D., Kane, T. J., McEachin, A., Morton, E., Patterson, T., & Staiger, D. O. (2023). The educational consequences of remote and hybrid instruction during the pandemic. *American Economic Review: Insights, 5*(3), 377-392.

33. Gordon, S. (2023). Self-Actualization. In *The Mind-Brain Continuum: Psychoneurointracrinology* (pp. 73-93). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

34. Gupta, K. P., & Maurya, H. (2022). Adoption, completion and continuance of MOOCs: A longitudinal study of students' behavioural intentions. *Behaviour & information technology, 41*(3), 611-628.

35. Haas, C., & Hadjar, A. (2020). Students' trajectories through higher education: A review of quantitative research. *Higher Education, 79*(6), 1099-1118.

36. Hallett, R. E., Kezar, A., Perez, R. J., & Kitchen, J. A. (2020). A typology of college transition and support programs: Situating a 2-year comprehensive college transition program within college access. *American Behavioral Scientist, 64*(3), 230-252.

37. Hampf, F. B. (2020). Education, skills & labor-market success: Microeconometric analyses using large-scale skills assessments (No. 89). *ifo Beiträge zur Wirtschaftsforschung*.

38. Hansen, M. J., Palakal, M. J., & White, L. J. (2023). The importance of STEM sense of belonging and academic hope in enhancing persistence for low-income, underrepresented STEM students. *Journal for STEM Education Research*, 1-26.

39. Hill, N. E. (2022). Parental involvement in education: Toward a more inclusive understanding of parents' role construction. *Educational Psychologist, 57*(4), 309-314.

40. Holland, M. M., & Ford, K. S. (2021). Legitimating prestige through diversity: How higher education institutions represent ethno-racial diversity across levels of selectivity. *The Journal of Higher Education, 92*(1), 1-30.

41. Huang, A. Y., Lu, O. H., & Yang, S. J. (2023). Effects of artificial Intelligence–Enabled personalized recommendations on learners' learning engagement, motivation, and outcomes in a flipped classroom. *Computers & Education, 194*, 104684.

42. Hutson, J., Nasser, R., Edele, S., Parrish, G., Rodgers, C., Richmond, S., ... & Curtis, R. (2022). Predictors of persistence, retention & completion for first-generation graduate students. *Journal of Organizational Psychology*, 22(1).

43. Jackson, D., Shan, H., & Meek, S. (2022). Enhancing graduates' enterprise capabilities through work-integrated learning in co-working spaces. *Higher Education, 84*(1), 101-120.

44. Jeffords, J. R., Bayly, B. L., Bumpus, M. F., & Hill, L. G. (2020). Investigating the relationship between university students' psychological flexibility and college self-efficacy. *Journal of college student retention: research, theory & practice, 22*(2), 351-372.

45. Johnson, D. W. (1991). *Cooperative Learning: Increasing College Faculty Instructional Productivity.* ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 4, 1991. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Reports, George Washington University, One Dupont Circle, Suite 630, Washington, DC 20036-1183.

46. Kaufman, S. B. (2023). Self-actualizing people in the 21st century: Integration with contemporary theory and research on personality and well-being. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology, 63*(1), 51-83.

47. Khan, N. U. S., & Yildiz, Y. (2020). Impact of intangible characteristics of universities on student satisfaction. *Amazonia Investiga, 9*(26), 105-116.

48. Kim, J., & Park, C. Y. (2020). Education, skill training, and lifelong learning in the era of technological revolution: A review. *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature, 34*(2), 3-19.

49. Klugman, J., & Lee, J. C. (2019). Social closure, school socioeconomic composition, and inequality in college enrollments. *Social science research, 80,* 156-185.

50. Krause, K. L. D. (2022). Vectors of change in higher education curricula. *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 54*(1), 38-52.

51. Le-Nguyen, H. T., & Tran, T. T. (2024). Generative AI in terms of Its ethical problems for both teachers and learners: Striking a balance. In *Generative AI in Teaching and Learning* (pp. 144-173). IGI Global.

52. Li, I. W., Jackson, D., & Carroll, D. R. (2023). Influence of equity group status and entry pathway on academic outcomes in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, 45*(2), 140-159.

53. Mahlangu, V. P. (2020). Rethinking student admission and access in higher education through the lens of capabilities approach. *International Journal of Educational Management, 34*(2), 450-460.

54. Maslow, A. H. (2013). *Toward a psychology of being.* Simon and Schuster.

55. Mattanah, J. F. (2016). *College student psychological adjustment: Theory, methods, and statistical trends.* Momentum Press.

56. McCallen, L. S., & Johnson, H. L. (2020). The role of institutional agents in promoting higher education success among first-generation college students at a public urban university. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education, 13*(4), 320.

57. McNair, T. B., Albertine, S., McDonald, N., Major Jr, T., & Cooper, M. A. (2022). *Becoming a student-ready college: A new culture of leadership for student success.* John Wiley & Sons.

58. Mishra, S. (2020). Social networks, social capital, social support and academic success in higher education: A systematic review with a special focus on 'underrepresented' students. *Educational Research Review, 29*, 100307.

59. Mustafa, D. (2023). Smart classrooms, bright minds: The intersection of education and artificial intelligence. *Journal Environmental Sciences And Technology, 2*(1), 150-158.

60. Noor, N., Batool, I., & Rehman, H. U. (2022). An empirical assessment of mediating role of financial self efficacy on financial literacy and financial inclusion in Pakistan. *Annals of Social Sciences and Perspective, 3*(1), 77-103.

61. Pascarella, E. T., & Terenzini, P. T. (2005). *How college affects students: A third decade of research.* Volume 2. Jossey-Bass, An Imprint of Wiley. 10475 Crosspoint Blvd, Indianapolis, IN 46256.

62. Pedro, I. M., Mendes, J. D. C., & Pereira, L. N. (2021). Understanding alumni-alma mater commitment relationships upstream and downstream. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education, 31*(2), 175-196.

63. Perna, L. W. (2005). The benefits of higher education: Sex, racial/ethnic, and socioeconomic group differences. *The Review of Higher Education, 29*(1), 23-52.

64. Pierce, K. (2020). *Beyond the counseling center: Supporting the transition to college for first year students with anxiety* (Doctoral dissertation, Regis College).

65. Pustovalova, N., & Avdeenko, T. (2022, May). Multivariate analysis of the influence of students' characteristics on academic performance. In *2022 VIII International Conference on Information Technology and Nanotechnology (ITNT)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

66. Ramzan, M., Javaid, Z. K., & Fatima, M. (2023). Empowering ESL students: Harnessing the potential of social media to enhance academic motivation in higher education. *Global Digital & Print Media Review, VI*, 2, 224-237.

67. Reardon, S. F. (2018). The widening academic achievement gap between the rich and the poor. In *Social stratification* (pp. 536-550). Routledge.

68. Rendon, L. I. (1994). Validating culturally diverse students: Toward a new model of learning and student development. *Innovative higher education, 19*, 33-51.

69. Rhodes, J. E., & DuBois, D. L. (2008). Mentoring relationships and programs for youth. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 17*(4), 254-258.

70. Riinawati, R., & Noor, F. (2023). Implication of education financing on student academic achievement. *Nidhomul Haq: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 8*(2), 338-350.

71. Russell, J. M., Baik, C., Ryan, A. T., & Molloy, E. (2022). Fostering self-regulated learning in higher education: Making self-regulation visible. *Active Learning in Higher Education, 23*(2), 97-113.

72. Shoulders, C., Simmons, L., & Johnson, D. (2020). Pre-entry attributes and freshman satisfaction, grades, and engagement as predictors of six-year college graduation. *College Student Journal, 54*(3), 327-338.

73. Sirin, S. R. (2005). Socioeconomic status and academic achievement: A meta-analytic review of research. *Review of educational research, 75*(3), 417-453.

74. Stanton-Salazar, R. D. (2001). *Manufacturing hope and despair: The school and kin support networks of US-Mexican youth*. Teachers College Press.

75. Šteh, B., & Šarić, M. (2020). Enhancing self-regulated learning in higher education. *Journal of Elementary Education, 13*(Spec. Iss.), 129-150.

76. Strayhorn, T. L. (2010). When race and gender collide: Social and cultural capital's influence on the academic achievement of African American and Latino males. *Review of Higher Education, 33*(3), 307-332.

77. Swail, W. S. (2000). Preparing America's disadvantaged for college: Programs that increase college opportunity. *New Directions for Institutional Research, 2000*(107), 85-101.

78. Thomas, C. L., & Allen, K. (2021). Driving engagement: investigating the influence of emotional intelligence and academic buoyancy on student engagement. *Journal of Further and Higher Education, 45*(1), 107-119.

79. Tinto, V. (2012). *Completing college: Rethinking institutional action*. University of Chicago Press.
80. Vargas, J. H. (2004). *College knowledge: Addressing information barriers to college.* Boston, MA: Education Research Institute.
81. Wei, L. (2023). Artificial intelligence in language instruction: impact on English learning achievement, L2 motivation, and self-regulated learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1261955.
82. Xing, X., & Rojewski, J. W. (2022). Understanding postsecondary education enrollment of first-generation students from a social cognitive perspective. *Journal of Career Development, 49*(3), 519-537.
83. Yosso, T. J. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. *Race ethnicity and education, 8*(1), 69-91.