Lindenwood University

# Digital Commons@Lindenwood University

Faculty Scholarship

Research and Scholarship

12-2018

# Drosophila suzukii fight performance reduced by starvation but not affected by humidity

Jessica S. Wong Oregon State University

Adam C. Cave Oregon State University

Danielle M. Lightle Oregon State University

Walter F. Mahaffee Oregon State University

Steve E. Naranjo USDA-ARS Arid-Land Agricultural Research Center

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lindenwood.edu/faculty-research-papers

Part of the Entomology Commons

# **Recommended Citation**

Wong, Jessica S.; Cave, Adam C.; Lightle, Danielle M.; Mahaffee, Walter F.; Naranjo, Steve E.; Wilman, Nick G.; Woltz, J. Megan; and Lee, Jana C., "Drosophila suzukii fight performance reduced by starvation but not affected by humidity" (2018). *Faculty Scholarship*. 542. https://digitalcommons.lindenwood.edu/faculty-research-papers/542

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Research and Scholarship at Digital Commons@Lindenwood University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Lindenwood University. For more information, please contact phuffman@lindenwood.edu.

# Authors

Jessica S. Wong, Adam C. Cave, Danielle M. Lightle, Walter F. Mahaffee, Steve E. Naranjo, Nick G. Wilman, J. Megan Woltz, and Jana C. Lee

#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# *Drosophila suzukii* flight performance reduced by starvation but not affected by humidity

Jessica S. Wong<sup>1,2</sup> · Adam C. Cave<sup>2</sup> · Danielle M. Lightle<sup>2,3</sup> · Walter F. Mahaffee<sup>2</sup> · Steve E. Naranjo<sup>4</sup> · Nik G. Wiman<sup>1</sup> · J. Megan Woltz<sup>2,5</sup> · Jana C. Lee<sup>2</sup>

Received: 5 January 2018 / Revised: 27 May 2018 / Accepted: 25 June 2018 / Published online: 4 July 2018 © This is a U.S. Government work and not under copyright protection in the US; foreign copyright protection may apply 2018

#### Abstract

*Drosophila suzukii* is widely studied because of its status as a global pest of berries and soft fruits. Environmental conditions and access to food resources impact the physiology and fitness of *D. suzukii*; these factors could also affect dispersal. Flight mills are a convenient tool for measuring and comparing the flight performance of insects. In this study, two experiments examined the effects of diet and humidity on *D. suzukii* flight performance using custom-built flight mills, and a third experiment compared the energy reserves of *D. suzukii* flown or not flown on flight mills. Over all flight assays, the median flight distance and duration were 27.16 m and 2.37 min, respectively, and the mean flight velocity was 0.18 m/s. The maximum flight distance and duration by an individual were 1.75 km and 2.35 h, respectively. *Drosophila suzukii* provisioned with blossoms, fruits, or standard laboratory diets flew farther distances and longer durations than starved flies. While starvation was associated with reduced flight performance, there were no observed differences between diet types. It remains unclear whether *D. suzukii* consistently use lipids, glycogen, sugar, or another energy source for flight because tethered individuals may not have flown enough to deplete energy reserves. Humidity did not affect flight performance of *D. suzukii* within a ~2 h test period. These data indicate that most *D. suzukii* are likely to remain within limited area (e.g., within a field) but that some individuals can disperse long distances (field to field spread).

Keywords Spotted-wing drosophila · Dispersal · Flight mill · Flight energy

Communicated by A. Biondi.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1013-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Jessica S. Wong jessicawong2420@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Horticulture, Oregon State University, 4017 Agriculture and Life Sciences Building, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA
- <sup>2</sup> USDA-ARS Horticultural Crops Research Unit, 3420 NW Orchard Ave., Corvallis, OR 97330, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Present Address: University of California Cooperative Extension, P.O. Box 697, Orland, CA 95963, USA
- <sup>4</sup> USDA-ARS Arid-Land Agricultural Research Center, 21881 North Cardon Lane, Maricopa, AZ 85138, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Present Address: Lindenwood University, 209 S Kingshighway, St. Charles, MO 63301, USA

# **Key Message**

- On average *Drosophila suzukii* flew for relatively limited duration and distance but some individuals were capable of long-distance dispersion.
- *Drosophila suzukii* subsisting on natural and artificial diets flew farther distances and longer durations than starved flies on tethered flight mills.
- Conditions between 30 and 80% relative humidity did not affect the tethered flight performance of *Drosophila suzukii* within a 2-h test period.

# Introduction

*Drosophila suzukii* is an invasive pest that reduces the marketable yield of berries and other soft-skinned fruits (Lee et al. 2011). The geographic range of this insect currently includes Europe, North America, and South

America in addition to its native range in Asia (Asplen et al. 2015; dos Santos et al. 2017). Habitat suitability models predict that *D. suzukii* will continue to expand over the current ranges (dos Santos et al. 2017). However, the rate of this expansion is difficult to estimate because of our limited understanding of potential dispersion methods. Passive dispersal of *D. suzukii* mediated by the transportation of infested fruits is a likely contributor to the pest's rapid spread (Calabria et al. 2010). Autonomous dispersal is another mechanism for range expansion, and it is potentially aided by *D. suzukii*'s ability to develop on a wide variety of cultivated and wild fruits (Lee et al. 2015; Kenis et al. 2016). It is unclear how quickly *D. suzukii* will spread and what biotic or abiotic conditions favor autonomous dispersal.

Some Drosophila species can fly great distances under certain circumstances. Drosophila melanogaster, D. simulans, and D. pseudoobscura travel several kilometers in a day in search of resources in desert ecosystems (Coyne et al. 1982). However, D. pseudoobscura and some of its relatives only fly up to a few hundred meters in resource-rich habitats (Crumpacker and Williams 1973; Powell et al. 1976). Similarly, marked D. suzukii can disperse 100 m or more from alternate hosts in adjacent field margins into crop field interiors in a 1 week period (Klick et al. 2016). It is speculated that D. suzukii seasonally migrate several hundred meters in elevation to escape resource-poor areas (Mitsui et al. 2010). However, it remains unknown whether individuals execute the entire long-distance migration, if the migration occurs over generations, or if there are separate populations with differing phenology at various elevations. Thus, quantification of flight capabilities of D. suzukii may support or refine the hypothesis on long-distance migrations; it might also inform management decisions regarding alternate hosts in field margins and in the greater landscape.

Insect flight performance is significantly influenced by nutritional status and energy reserves (Briegel et al. 2001a; Wang et al. 2009; Lopez et al. 2014). Starvation and diet quality affect D. suzukii development, survivorship, and energy reserves (Hardin et al. 2015; Tochen et al. 2016a), which could affect flight performance. Feeding before flight is critical as trehalose, the main sugar in hemolymph, is the source of flight energy for many insect species (Briegel et al. 2001a, b; Wang et al. 2009; Arrese and Soulages 2010). However, the source of energy can vary between insect species. Drosophila melanogaster and other Dipteran species use glycogen as the primary source of energy for flight, and after several hours of flight use lipid reserves to sustain long-term flight (Wigglesworth 1949; Briegel et al. 2001a; Kaufmann and Briegel 2004). In contrast, aphids primarily use lipids for flight (Cockbain 1961; Liquido and Irwin 1986). It is not known what energy sources are used in D. suzukii flight.

Temperature and humidity affect *D. suzukii* development time, mortality, and fecundity (Kinjo et al. 2014; Tochen et al. 2014, 2016b; Hamby et al. 2016; Ryan et al. 2016; Eben et al. 2017; Zerulla et al. 2017). The effects of these environmental factors on flight performance are not understood in *D. suzukii*. For female *D. melanogaster*, the probability of dispersal increases with temperature up to ~ 30 °C (Roff 1977). Humidity is not as extensively studied as temperature despite potential effects on *D. suzukii* pest pressure (Tochen et al. 2016b; Wiman et al. 2016). Humidity is positively correlated with longevity and fecundity, but inconsistently affects other aspects of *D. suzukii* physiology in laboratory experiments (Tochen et al. 2016b). Given the abundance of temperature-related research, we focused on obtaining flight data under varying humidity conditions.

Drosophila spp. dispersal has been studied in field settings using mark-capture (Klick et al. 2016) or mark-recapture (Coyne et al. 1982) methods. However, both methods can only measure whether flies can travel a predetermined distance flown over a set duration. Dispersal experiments conducted in controlled settings can eliminate those limitations. Flight mills have been used to study tethered flight and dispersal potential of lygus bugs (Blackmer et al. 2004), aphids (Zhang et al. 2008), fruit flies (Wang et al. 2009), beetles (Naranjo 1990; Taylor et al. 2010; Lopez et al. 2014), and stink bugs (Wiman et al. 2015). Replicable flight mill experiments measure relative flight parameters more precisely than field experiments (Lopez et al. 2014). While tethered flight does not exactly replicate free flight (Rowley et al. 1968), flight mills are a convenient and practical tool to compare flight distance, duration, and speed between multiple experimental groups of comparable size (Taylor et al. 2010; Lopez et al. 2014).

Our first objective was to compare flight distance, duration, and velocity among female D. suzukii provided various diet treatments; we hypothesized that flight distances and speeds would be greatest for D. suzukii fed the most nutritionally complete diet. Our second objective was to compare flight parameters in various humidity levels for D. suzukii fed various diets to assess whether nutritional status changes an insect's flight response to environmental conditions. Because humidity correlates positively with D. suzukii longevity and fecundity, we hypothesized that flight would positively correlate with humidity and that the correlation would be consistent across the diets. Our third objective was to compare the amounts of lipids, sugars, and glycogen of female D. suzukii flown on flight mills to those that were not flown ('un-flown'). Because glycogen is the primary source of flight energy for D. melanogaster and other flies, we hypothesized that glycogen sugar levels would be significantly lower in flown flies than in un-flown flies. We also expected to see lower levels of sugar and lipids in flown flies compared to un-flown flies. The flight parameter estimates

#### Deringer

reported in this study may assist future field research on *D*. *suzukii* dispersal patterns.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Flight mills**

The D. suzukii flight mills were custom-built based on a design used for soybean aphids, Aphis glycines (Zhang et al. 2008). The D. suzukii flight mill consisted of a wooden base and a photosensor that tracked the circular laps flown by a fly attached to a tether. The flight mill armature consisted of a #1 insect pin (Bioquip, Ranch Dominguez, CA) with 0.1-mm copper wire wound around the pin such that the two strands extended 4.5 or 5 cm away from the pin and formed a T shape. The tips of the wire were bent downward 85°. A laminated paper disk (3 cm diameter) with two holes (0.5 cm diameter) at opposite poles was positioned 1 cm from the tip of the pin, so that the pin served as the center axis for the disk. The armature was suspended vertically between two rare earth magnets to allow virtually frictionless rotation. The disk on the armature was positioned so that the photosensor (Omron EE-SX672R, Kyoto, Japan) tracked the half rotations flown by the insect. The photosensor readings were recorded using an Arduino microcontroller (Uno R3) and Parallax Data Acquisition (PLX-DAQ) tool (Parallax, Rocklin, CA), an add-in software extension for Microsoft Excel. Five flight mills could be run simultaneously using one Arduino microcontroller. The photosensor readings recorded every 0.08 s were summarized using R 3.2.4-3.3.3 (R Core Team 2016, 2017) to determine total flight distance and duration, and average velocity.

#### **Flight mill assay**

To prepare the *D. suzukii* for the flight assays, approximately 5 female flies were aspirated into a vial at a time. The vial was put in ice for 10–15 min to sedate flies. Each sedated fly was attached at the prothorax to the end of the flight armature tether with a bead of super glue and a small amount of baking soda (<0.1 mg) to quickly dry the glue. The flight armature was immediately placed in between the magnets on the flight mill base. Prior to tethering the test subject, another female fly with wings removed was attached to the end of the other arm as a counterbalance.

Once placed on the flight mill, flies either initiated flight on their own, or they required stimulation from a gust of wind produced by briefly blowing from behind the fly. In either case, the flies were carefully monitored for unassisted flight before the photosensor was activated and recording began. When flies stopped flying that was the end of a single flight bout. Every fly was given 1 min to resume flight on their own, or they were stimulated with a simulated landing after > 1 min of inactivity (Cockbain 1961). The landings were simulated by touching the tarsi with the smooth surface of forceps. Flies would either begin another flight bout or they would not fly again. The flight session concluded once the fly ceased flight, would not resume flight within a minute of inactivity, and three landings were simulated. The sum of all flights per individual (total flight) typically lasted 5–20 min, but some lasted over 2 h. The assays were conducted in a laboratory between 8:00 am and 12:00 pm. Up to 5 flies could be assayed at a time, and approximately 15 flies could be assayed per day.

#### **Experiments**

All experiments were conducted with adult *D. suzukii* from a laboratory colony reared on standard cornmeal-yeast-sugar-agar diet in a growth chamber kept at 22 °C,  $60 \pm 5\%$  relative humidity, and 16:8 L:D photoperiod (Woltz et al. 2015). The colony was initiated each year with wild *D. suzukii* collected from infested caneberries in Corvallis, OR from July to October 2015 and 2016 (See Appendix A for comparison of flight parameters of wild to colony files). The generation of the flies from wild parents was recorded (Table 1).

Approximately 100 *D. suzukii* adults (1-4 days old) were put in plastic cages with a mesh sleeve  $(23 \times 23 \times 25 \text{ cm})$  and acclimated to ambient laboratory conditions (~22 °C, 40% RH). All cages contained a water wick and a food source, with the exception of the cages holding starved flies, which only had water (Table 1). Flies were fed ad libitum and exposed to diet treatments for 1–3 days before placing on

 Table 1 Experimental details of diet and humidity effects on flight parameters

Experiment	Food source	Flies flown	Fly gen. from wild parents
Diet	Water only	62	$F_{3} - F_{5}$
Flight energy <sup>a</sup>	20% sucrose solution	13	$F_{3} - F_{4}$
	Cherry blossom	12	$F_4$
	Blueberry blossom	22	$F_4$
	Blackberry blossom	8	$F_4$
	Standard diet	66	$F_5$
	Cherry fruit	37	$F_5$
	Raspberry fruit	51	$F_5$
	Blueberry fruit	52	$F_5$
	Blackberry fruit	40	$F_5$
Humidity	Water only	76	$F_2 - F_3$
	Blueberry blossom	57	$F_2 - F_3$
	Blueberry fruit	58	$F_3$

<sup>a</sup>Diet and flight energy experiments were conducted concurrently

flight mills. Flies were 2–7 days old on the day of testing. This age range made no differences in flight performance (Appendix B). Flight performance of female flies was the primary focus of this study because of their ability to directly damage fruit. They also had better flight performance than male flies, so only females were tested to eliminate variability associated with sex (Appendix C).

- 1. Diet experiment Drosophila suzukii were provided either blossoms, fruits, a positive control diet ('laboratory diet'), or a negative control diet (water only) prior to the flight mill assays (Table 1). Not every food source could be tested at the same time due to seasonal availability (Table 1). Three types of blossoms were examined: cherry, blueberry, and blackberry. Flowers were collected locally in the spring and kept on the branches with the leaves removed in vials of water to prolong blossom longevity. Each branch had five to ten flowers and two to three branches were put into each cage for approximately the same number of flowers per blossom species. The positive control (sugar diet) for the blossom diet experiments was a 20% sucrose solution dispensed by a cotton wick. Four types of ripe, unsprayed fruit were studied: cherry, blueberry, raspberry, and blackberry. Approximately 10 g of fruit was mashed and put on a small dish before the dish was put into a cage. The positive control for the fruit diet experiments was the standard cornmeal-yeast-sugar-agar diet (Woltz et al. 2015). Blossoms and fruits were commercially available varieties grown in research plots at the Oregon State University Lewis Brown Farm in Corvallis, OR. Flies that received the negative control treatment were given only water and are henceforth referred to as 'starved.' Because of seasonal availability, flies provided blossoms or fruit were tested concurrently with starved flies and flies fed appropriate laboratory diets. After the flight mill assay ended for each fly, the insect was placed in a microcentrifuge tube in a -20 °C freezer to be analyzed for the flight energy experiment.
- 2. Humidity experiment Drosophila suzukii were provided blueberry blossoms, blueberry fruits, or were starved (water only) and held in cages at ambient laboratory conditions (~22 °C and 40% RH) before use in the flight mill assays as described above with the addition of a humidity variable (Table 1). The flight mills were placed in plastic tents ( $64 \times 25 \times 25$  cm). The tents were constructed out of a plastic frame (BugDorm 4F2260, Megaview, Taiwan), 152-µm-thick plastic, duct tape, and plastic zippers. Relative humidity was manipulated inside the plastic tents with 14 cm diameter dishes of water or salt solutions, and the observed humidity levels inside the tents ranged continuously from 30 to 82% (Table 2). Saturated salt solutions were selected and pre-

 Table 2
 Relative humidity range measured in tents at ambient laboratory temperature (22 °C) from salt solutions

Compound	Amount dissolved in 50 ml $H_2O$	Measured range (%)	
LiCl	70 g	30–44	
None	_	32-55 <sup>a</sup>	
NaCl	50 g (precipitate in solution)	50-68	
H <sub>2</sub> O	_	61-80	
KNO <sub>3</sub>	75 g (precipitate in solution)	70–82	

<sup>a</sup>Ambient laboratory condition

pared as described by Tochen et al. (2016b). The salt solutions were placed inside tents at least 12 h before flight mill assays to allow relative humidity to stabilize. Humidity levels inside tents of the same treatment fluctuated between trial days depending on conditions in the laboratory, and with the opening and closing of the tent door. The actual humidity level and temperature were recorded at the beginning of each flight assay with HOBO data loggers (U14-001, Onset Corp., Bourne, MA), and these values were used for analyses.

3. Flight energy experiment Glycogen, sugar, and lipid content of D. suzukii flown on the flight mill in the diet experiment ('flown') and those subjected to the same pre-flight treatment but not tested on the flight mill ('unflown') were measured. The biochemical assays used to measure these energy reserves were destructive to the insect, so it was not possible to test for pre- and post-flight energy reserves in the same individual. Hot anthrone tests quantified glycogen and sugar levels (Van Handel 1985a), and vanillin tests quantified lipid levels (Van Handel 1985b). Protocols used by Tochen et al. (2016b) for D. suzukii were followed, except in our assays 200 µl of the final reacted solution was pipetted into a 96-well assay plate. Absorbance of the samples was read in a spectrophotometer (ELX 808, BioTek, Winooski, VT) at 630 nm for sugar and glycogen assays and 490 nm for lipid assays. Absorbance was converted to glycogen, sugar, or lipid levels based on respective calibration standards.

#### Statistical analyses

The flight distance, duration, and average velocity were analyzed separately in the diet (n = 363) and humidity (n = 191) experiments. Flight distances and durations were highly skewed toward small values, so distance and duration were log-transformed to meet the assumptions of residual normality and equal variance. Log-transformed coefficients were later back-transformed by exponentiation for interpretation of the medians and for presentation. Flight velocity for each fly was averaged from the velocities of each flight bout within the flight session.

In the diet experiment, separate models were fit to compare the effect of diet groups (fruit, blossom, laboratory diet, and starved) on the medians of flight distance and duration, and on the means of average flight velocity. Exploratory analyses found no differences in flight performance among the three blossom types, or among the four fruit types, or between sucrose and cornmeal-yeast-sugar-agar diets, so to simplify analyses, the diets were grouped broadly as blossoms, fruit, or laboratory diet. Tukey HSD was used to make pairwise comparisons of flight performance among groups given blossoms, fruit, laboratory diet, or water only. Starved flies had significantly lower median total flight distances and durations, while the three fed groups were equivalent. To calculate the estimated magnitude of difference between each fed group and starved, a Bonferroni correction was used to adjust significance level  $\alpha$  to 0.0167. This accounted for three comparisons between diets (blossom vs starved, fruit vs starved, laboratory diet vs starved). The estimated ratios between diet groups of median total flight distances and durations were calculated along with the 98.3% confidence intervals. The estimated differences between diet groups of mean average velocity and the confidence intervals were calculated.

In the humidity experiment, separate second-order polynomial regression models were fit to analyze predictors of median total flight distance and duration. Relative humidity level was recorded at the beginning of each flight assay and was coded as a continuous variable in these analyses. Exploratory analyses found a quadratic relation between flight distance and humidity, and between flight duration and humidity. The fixed effects in both models were diet, humidity, humidity<sup>2</sup>, and the diet×humidity interaction. A linear regression model was fit to analyze the effect of diet and humidity on mean average flight velocity.

Analyses of the flight energy experiment were done with lipid, sugar, or glycogen concentration as the dependent variables. Diet (categorical variable with five levels: fruit, blossom, cornmeal–yeast–sugar–agar diet, sucrose, and starved), flight status (flown or un-flown), and the diet×status interaction were fixed effects in separate means models. Bonferroni correction was used to adjust significance level  $\alpha$  to 0.01 to account for five comparisons of energy reserves between flight status for each of the five diets (e.g., blossom + flown vs blossom + un-flown). The estimated differences in mean energy levels were calculated along with the 99% confidence intervals. All statistical analyses were done using R 3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017).

#### Results

#### **Diet experiment**

Female D. suzukii provisioned with food had higher levels of sugar and glycogen than starved flies (Table 3). Adult D. suzukii emerge with limited reserves of sugar and glycogen (Tochen et al. 2016a). The elevated concentrations of carbohydrates observed in the diet-provisioned flies confirmed they had fed. Flight distance differed significantly between fed and starved D. suzukii ( $F_{3,359} = 14.49$ ; p < 0.001; Fig. 1a). There were no differences in the median flight distances among flies provided fruits, blossoms, or laboratory diets. The median flight distance of flies given fruit was 4.13 times greater (98.3% CI [2.46, 6.92]) than that of starved flies; the median flight distance of flies given blossoms was 2.65 times greater (98.33% CI [1.31, 5.34]) than that of starved flies; and the median flight distance of laboratory diet-fed flies was 2.69 times greater (98.3% CI [1.48, 4.87]) than that of starved flies.

Flight duration was similarly affected by the diet treatment ( $F_{3, 359} = 14.35$ ; p < 0.001; Fig. 1b). Median flight

Table 3	Average amount $\pm$ SEM
of energ	y reserves in un-flown
and flov	vn D. suzukii

Diet	Flight status	n	Lipid (µg)	Sugar (µg)	Glycogen (µg)
Fruit	Un-flown	181	42.05±1.43*	$78.06 \pm 4.36$	$53.83 \pm 2.95$
	Flown	180	$35.99 \pm 1.29$	$73.05 \pm 4.67$	$57.91 \pm 2.88$
Blossom	Un-flown	46	$38.84 \pm 3.65$	$31.33 \pm 7.08$	$9.71 \pm 1.91$
	Flown	43	$38.57 \pm 2.44$	$37.3 \pm 8.79$	$12.44 \pm 2.43$
Cornmeal-yeast-	Un-flown	66	$34.2 \pm 2.77$	$48.24 \pm 3.76$	$88.14 \pm 5.9$
sugar–agar diet	Flown	66	$30.4 \pm 1.6$	$37.28 \pm 2.27$	$87.85 \pm 6.86$
Sucrose	Un-flown	13	21.71±6.23*	$186.44 \pm 21*$	$40.66 \pm 5.28$
	Flown	13	$41.85 \pm 6.13$	$45.71 \pm 15.75$	$12.22 \pm 4.61$
Starved	Un-flown	71	$25.19 \pm 1.8*$	$4.18 \pm 0.67$	$4.6 \pm 1.02$
	Flown	65	$34.05 \pm 3.42$	$5.78 \pm 0.84$	$11.18 \pm 2.24$

\*Statistical difference in energy reserve level between flown and un-flown flies with the Bonferroni adjustment p < 0.01



**Fig. 1** Boxplots displaying minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum of flight **a** distance and **b** durations of *D*. *suzukii* fed different diets. The *y* axis is on a logarithmic scale. Values for observed medians are listed, and different letters represent statistical significance between diets

durations of flies given fruits, blossoms, or laboratory diets were equivalent and greater than the median duration flown by starved flies. The median flight duration of flies given fruit was 3.8 times greater (98.3% CI [2.34, 6.24]) than that of starved flies; the median flight duration of flies given blossoms was 2.52 times greater (98.33% CI [1.29, 4.91]) than that of starved flies; and the median flight duration of flies given laboratory diet was 2.54 times greater (98.3% CI [1.44, 4.47]) than that of starved flies.

Mean flight velocities were similar across cohorts of *D*. *suzukii* that were starved or given blossoms, fruit, or laboratory diet ( $F_{3,359}$ =0.659; p=0.578).

#### **Humidity experiment**

There were no differences in median flight distances, median flight durations, or mean velocities of *D. suzukii* due to humidity (Table 4). Median flight distances and durations were significantly affected by diet, but not by the diet  $\times$  humidity interaction. Diet did not affect mean flight velocity.

#### **General flight performance**

The flight parameters of all flies tested on the flight mill in the diet experiment and the humidity experiment were summarized together (Table 5). Frequency of female *D. suzukii* flights were highly skewed toward shorter distances (Appendix D) and durations. Mean flight velocity was  $0.18 \pm 0.002$  m/s with a maximum observed velocity of 0.37 m/s. Flight distances ranged from 1 m to 1.75 km, and flight durations ranged from 4 s to nearly 2.5 h.

#### **Flight energy experiment**

The levels of lipids, sugar, and glycogen in the female *D*. *suzukii* varied based on the type of food they were provided

Table 5	Summary	flight	parameters	calculated	from	all	D.	suzukii
tested								

n=554	Distance (m)	Duration (min)	Velocity (m/s)
Minimum	1.1	0.07	0.03
Median	27.16	2.37	0.18
Mean	87.02	7.38	0.18
Maximum	1750.46	141.47	0.37

Response	Fixed effect	F	Df	р
Total flight distance (log-transformed)	Diet	44.15	2184	< 0.0001
	Humidity	1.11	1184	0.29
	Humidity <sup>2</sup>	2.55	1184	0.11
	Diet × Humidity	0.38	2184	0.68
Total flight duration (log-transformed)	Diet	43.57	2184	< 0.0001
	Humidity	1.61	1184	0.21
	Humidity <sup>2</sup>	2.92	1184	0.09
	Diet × Humidity	0.26	2184	0.77
Average velocity	Diet	2.06	2187	0.13
	Humidity	0.07	1187	0.79

**Table 4**Statistical summary ofhumidity experiment

🖄 Springer

Content courtesy of Springer Nature, terms of use apply. Rights reserved.

(Tables 3, 6). Flight status did not significantly affect lipid levels, but the interaction between diet and flight status affected lipid levels (Table 6). Mean lipid levels were different between flown and un-flown cohorts given fruit or sucrose, as well as those that were starved. For cohorts of flies fed fruits, un-flown flies had on average 6.06  $\mu$ g more lipid (99% CI [0.75, 11.36]) than flown flies. For cohorts of flies fed sucrose, un-flown flies had on average 20.14  $\mu$ g less lipids (99% CI [0.36, 39.91] than flown flies. For cohorts of starved flies, un-flown flies had on average 8.85  $\mu$ g less lipid (99% CI [0.2, 17.51]) than flown flies. There were no differences in mean lipid levels detected between un-flown and flown flies fed blossoms or laboratory diet.

Flight status affected sugar levels, as well as the interaction between diet and flight status significantly affected sugar levels (Table 6). Mean sugar levels in flies fed sucrose were lower among those flown on the flight mill compared to those that were not flown. For flies fed sucrose, un-flown flies had on average 140.73  $\mu$ g more sugar (99% CI [91.14, 190.32]) than flown flies. There were no differences in mean sugar levels detected between un-flown and flown flies fed fruits, blossoms, cornmeal–yeast–sugar–agar diet, or flies that were starved.

The interaction between diet and flight status did not significantly affect glycogen level (Table 6). There were no differences in mean glycogen levels between un-flown and flown flies fed any of the five diets.

#### Discussion

Satiation was more important as a predictor of *D. suzukii* tethered flight performance than the type or quality of food provided. Standard laboratory diet is a nutritionally complete diet comprised of both carbohydrates and protein, while fruits and blossoms are generally high in carbohydrates but lacking in protein (Nicolson and Thornburg 2007; U.S. Food and Drug Administration 2008). Despite this, flies provided standard diet, fruits, or blossom diets performed similarly

Table 6 Statistical summary of flight energy experiment

Response	Effect	Df	F	р
Lipid	Diet	4734	7.93	< 0.001
	Flight status	1734	0.86	0.35
	Diet × Flight status	4734	5.75	< 0.001
Sugar	Diet	4734	67.43	< 0.001
	Flight status	1734	5.33	0.02
	Diet × Flight status	4734	12.84	< 0.001
Glycogen	Diet	4734	112.74	< 0.001
	Flight status	1734	0.87	0.35
	Diet×Flight status	4734	1.4	0.23

in the flight assays. Even in various humidity conditions, starved D. suzukii did not fly as far as sated flies. It does not seem likely that starved D. suzukii can make long-distance flights to exploit better resources. However, sated D. suzukii may be capable of long-distance migrations. It was rare for fed D. suzukii to fly over 1 km in our experiments, but even a small percent of a large population would be a numerically large group of long-distance fliers. Some tethered D. suzukii in our experiments were observed resuming flight after the conclusion of the experimental flight session. This suggests that the flight mills may not have measured flight until complete exhaustion, but may better characterize foraging flights between resource patches. If this is the case, D. suzukii females may be able to fly several kilometers in a lifetime, similar to other Drosophila species (Coyne et al. 1982). Wild D. suzukii reared on field-collected raspberries were observed flying over 1 km, though they more frequently flew shorter distances compared to the laboratory colony flies that were F<sub>3</sub> progeny of wild parents (Appendix A). Male D. suzukii tend to fly significantly less than female flies (Appendix C), so it is not clear if all D. suzukii could disperse several kilometers in a lifetime. Lastly, the dispersal capacity of the overwintering generation is hypothesized to have the longest migration in early spring and late autumn (Mitsui et al. 2010). Laboratory-reared summer and winter morphotypes (Wallingford and Loeb 2016) that enclosed within 2-7 days and were fed a laboratory diet flew similar distances on the flight mill (Appendix E). Future studies should test the winter morphotype that undergoes overwintering since prolonged cold exposure as an adult may induce different flight behaviors.

Rowley et al. (1968), Riley et al. (1997), and Taylor et al. (2010) have discussed the need for careful interpretation of flight mill data. First, it is unknown how handling and tethering an insect, and how the flight mill itself, physically and behaviorally affects flight. Next, flight mill experiments done in the laboratory lack the stimuli that wild insects would normally encounter in the field, such as wind, various olfactory cues, and solar photostimulation. Lastly, tethered flight increases the weight load on an insect which may underestimate flight performance, but it also reduces the energy expended to remain aloft which may overestimate performance. For these reasons, it is important to design experiments and interpret results in a comparative fashion. In our experiments, we were careful to handle all female D. suzukii similarly. We also took relatively large sample sizes in an attempt to minimize variance and tried to keep experimental group sizes similar. Comparisons of tethered flight to D. suzukii free flight in the field could provide important information on the reliability of extrapolation of flight mill data.

Studies on Dipteran species consistently show that carbohydrate feeding before flight is critical as glycogen is primarily utilized in flight before direct lipid usage occurs (Wigglesworth 1949; Briegel et al. 2001a; Kaufmann and Briegel 2004). Similar flight parameters observed in our experiment among flies provided blossoms, fruit, sucrose or cornmeal-yeast-sugar-agar diets may be explained by the high sugar content in all of these diets (Woltz et al. 2015; Tochen et al. 2016a). However, our results do not conform to the existing knowledge on Dipteran flight energy utilization. Glycogen levels were not lower in flown D. suzukii compared to un-flown flies in any of the diet subsets as hypothesized. The sugar trehalose or lipids could be a substrate utilized in D. suzukii flight as found with other insect species (Briegel et al. 2001a, b; Wang et al. 2009; Arrese and Soulages 2010). However, evidence of sugars or lipids being expended in D. suzukii flight was inconsistent in our experiment. Sugar levels were only lower among flown flies compared to unflown flies when flies were fed a sucrose diet, and lipid levels were only lower among flown flies when given fruit. It is possible that tethered flight uses significantly less energy than free flight or that flown D. suzukii did not fly for periods long enough to detect lowered energy reserves. Furthermore, there were no detectable differences in lipid, sugar, or glycogen content between D. suzukii that flew long distances and flies that flew short distances (Appendix D).

Proline, an abundant amino acid found in hemolymph, was not measured in our experiment, but it is the primary source of flight energy for some insects, including tsetse flies, *Glossina morsitans*, and Colorado potato beetles, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Beenakkers et al. 1984; Arrese and Soulages 2010). Definitive assertions about what energy sources are used in *D. suzukii* flight are beyond the scope of this study. Future studies on *D. suzukii* flight energy utilization should consider non-destructive methods for assaying energy reserves of individuals before and after flight; flies should be forced to fly to exhaustion; and trehalose-specific, proline, and protein analyses should be conducted along with lipid, glycogen, and sugar analyses.

We were surprised to see higher levels of lipids in the flown flies versus the un-flown flies in starved D. suzukii and flies provided sucrose but starved of protein and other nutrients. However, similar trends have been observed in other studies. Lipid levels were higher among starved D. suzukii than flies exposed to artificial diet for 1 day with two different colonies (Tochen et al. 2016a). Both flight and starvation can mobilize lipids (Beenakkers et al. 1984; Arrese and Soulages 2010). Starvation, in particular, causes oenocytes to facilitate the release of large amounts of lipids from the fat body in *Drosophila* spp. (Gutierrez et al. 2007). It is not clear where the fat body lipids are processed in Drosophila, but in starved Manduca sexta lipids concentrate in the hemolymph (Ziegler 1991). It is unknown if this could affect total lipid levels, or the detection of lipids with a biochemical assay (Van Handel 1985b). It seems likely that flight on the flight mill affected metabolic activity in our D.

*suzukii*, although it is difficult to determine what activity was impacted from the existing literature, and it is beyond the scope of our study.

Water loss, as a result of high temperatures or low humidity, typically reduces flight performance of insects (Fadamiro and Wyatt 1995; Zhang et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2009). This was not the case for *D. suzukii* flown on the flight mills. Relative humidity ranging from 30 to 80% was not positively correlated with *D. suzukii* flight performance as we had hypothesized. However, this result is not unusual, as the flight performance of certain beetles is also unaffected by humidity (Sinclair and Haddrell 1985; Dowdy 1994). The null results of our humidity experiment provide some evidence of the range of environmental conditions in which *D. suzukii* can fly, which attest to the ability of *D. suzukii* to invade a wide variety of geographic areas.

We suggest examining variables affecting *D. suzukii* flight initiation in future flight mill studies. In our study some flies required artificial stimulation before initiating flight, while others did not. Measuring biological and environmental flight stimulants might be considered in future flight mill studies. Furthermore, studying the effects of *D. suzukii* body size, egg load, and recent ovipositional activity on flight performance may also improve understanding of the pest's movement patterns.

This study provides estimates of *D. suzukii* flight performance and can be applied to future research on dispersal from alternate hosts to crops, long-distance migration, and flight energy utilization. The risk of new invasions of *D. suzukii* may be compounded by the dispersal capacity of adults. *Drosophila suzukii* appear to have few requirements for flight. So long as they have access to food and water, *D. suzukii* can fly hundreds of meters between resource patches. *Drosophila suzukii* may be capable of many flight sessions in a lifetime, which would make dispersal between cultivated and wild hosts in a landscape inevitable. Field research to confirm free flight performance of wild *D. suzukii* and their typical exposure to varying levels of starvation and humidity is crucial for improving management strategies and reducing economic loss.

#### **Author contribution**

JSW, JCL, and NGW designed experiments. JSW conducted experiments and analyzed data. ACC, DML, WFM, SEN, and NGW developed the flight mill system. JMW and JSW wrote the R-code to tabulate flight mill data. All authors contributed to writing the paper, with JSW as lead.

Acknowledgements We thank Noel Hahn and Bruce Lightle for advice on flight mills and Danny Dalton for advice on humidity chambers. We thank Elio Caceres Herrera for designing and making the flight mill control board. We thank Alex Weissman for building the humidity tents and Caelin Alba, Colleen Corrigan, Kelly Donahue, Lauren Komenus, Eric McDougal, and Anne Snell for maintaining the fly colony. We thank Hanna McIntosh for comments on the manuscript.

**Funding** Funding was provided by the Agricultural Research Foundation, and base funds USDA 2072-22000-040-00D, USDA 5358-22000-039-00D.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The use of trade, firm, or corporation names in this publication is for the information and convenience of the reader. Such use does not constitute an official endorsement or approval by the United States Department of Agriculture or the Agricultural Research Service of any product or service to the exclusion of others that may be suitable. All authors, JSW, ACC, DML, WFM, SEN, NGW, JMW, and JCL, declare no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or vertebrate animals performed by any of the authors.

## References

- Arrese EL, Soulages JL (2010) Insect fat body: energy, metabolism, and regulation. Annu Rev Entomol 55:207–225
- Asplen MK, Anfora G, Biondi A, Choi D-S, Chu D, Daane KM et al (2015) Invasion biology of spotted wing drosophila (*Drosophila* suzukii): a global perspective and future priorities. J Pest Sci 88:469–494
- Beenakkers AM, Van der Horst DJ, Van Marrewijk WJA (1984) Insect flight muscle metabolism. Insect Biochem 14:243–260
- Blackmer JL, Naranjo SE, Williams LH III (2004) Comparative study of tethered and untethered flight by *Lygus hesperus* and *Lygus lineolaris* (Heteroptera: Miridae). Environ Entomol 33:1389–1400
- Briegel H, Knüsel I, Timmermann SE (2001a) *Aedes aegypti*: size, reserves, survival, and flight potential. J Vector Ecol 26:21–31
- Briegel H, Waltert A, Kuhn R (2001b) Reproductive physiology of Aedes (Aedimorphus) vexans (Diptera: Culicidae) in relation to flight potential. J Med Entomol 38:557–565
- Calabria G, Máca J, Bächli G, Serra L, Pascual M (2010) First records of the potential pest species *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in Europe. J Appl Entomol. https://doi.org/10.111 1/j.1439-0418.2010.01583.x
- Cockbain AJ (1961) Fuel utilization and duration of tethered flight in *Aphis fabae* scop. J Exp Biol 38:163–174
- Coyne JA, Boussy IA, Prout T, Bryant SH, Jones JS, Moore JA (1982) Long-distance migration of *Drosophila*. Am Nat 119:589–595
- Crumpacker DW, Williams JS (1973) Density, dispersion, and population structure in *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. Ecol Monogr 43:499–538
- dos Santos LA, Mendes MF, Krüger AP, Blauth ML, Gottschalk MS, Garcia FRM (2017) Global potential distribution of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera, Drosophilidae). PLoS ONE 12(3):e0174318
- Dowdy AK (1994) Flight initiation of lesser grain borer (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) as influenced by temperature, humidity, and light. J Econ Entomol 87:1714–1717
- Eben A, Reifenrath M, Briem F, Pink S, Vogt H (2017) Response of Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae) to extreme heat and dryness. Agric For Entomol. https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12235

- Fadamiro HY, Wyatt TD (1995) Flight initiation by *Prostephanus truncatus* in relation to time of day, temperature, relative humidity and starvation. Entomol Exp Appl 75:273–277
- Gutierrez E, Wiggins D, Fielding B, Gould AP (2007) Specialized hepatocyte-like cells regulate Drosophila lipid metabolism. Nature 445:275–280
- Hamby KA, Bellamy DE, Chiu JC, Lee JC, Walton VM, Wiman NG, York RM, Biondi A (2016) Biotic and abiotic factors impacting development, behavior, phenology, and reproductive biology of *Drosophila suzukii*. J Pest Sci 89:605–619
- Hardin JA, Kraus DA, Burrack HJ (2015) Diet quality mitigates intraspecific larval competition in *Drosophila suzukii*. Entomol Exp Appl 156:59–65
- Kaufmann C, Briegel H (2004) Flight performance of the malaria vectors Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles atroparvus. J Vector Ecol 29:140–153
- Kenis M, Tonina L, Eschen R, van der Sluis B, Sancassani M, Mori N, Haye T, Helsen H (2016) Non-crop plants used as hosts by *Drosophila suzukii* in Europe. J Pest Sci 89:735–748
- Kinjo H, Kunimi Y, Nakai M (2014) Effects of temperature on the reproduction and development of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Appl Entomol Zool 49:297–304
- Klick J, Yang WQ, Walton VM, Dalton DT, Hagler JR, Dreves AJ, Lee JC, Bruck DJ (2016) Distribution and activity of *Drosophila* suzukii in cultivated raspberry and surrounding vegetation. J Appl Entomol 140:37–46
- Lee JC, Bruck DJ, Curry H, Edwards DL, Haviland DR, Van Steenwyk R, Yorgey B (2011) The susceptibility of small fruits and cherries to the spotted wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*. Pest Manag Sci 67:1358–1367
- Lee JC, Dreves AJ, Cave AM, Kawai S, Isaacs R, Miller JC, van Timmeren S, Bruck DJ (2015) Infestation of wild and ornamental noncrop fruits by *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 108:117–129
- Liquido NJ, Irwin ME (1986) Longevity, fecundity, change in degree gravidity and lipid content with adult age, and lipid utilization during tethered flight of alates of the corn lead aphid, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Ann Appl Biol 108:449–459
- Lopez VM, McClanahan MN, Graham L, Hoddle MS (2014) Assessing the flight capabilities of the gold spotted oak borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) with computerized flight mills. J Econ Entomol 107:1127–1135
- Mitsui H, Beppu K, Kimura MT (2010) Seasonal life cycles and resource uses of flower- and fruit-feeding drosophilid flies (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in Central Japan. Entomol Sci 13:60–67
- Naranjo SE (1990) Comparative flight behavior of *D. virgifera virgifera* and *Diabrotica barberi* in the laboratory. Entomol Exp Appl 55:79–90
- Nicolson SW, Thornburg RW (2007) Nectar chemistry. In: Nicolson SW, Nepi M, Pacini E (eds) Nectaries and nectar. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 215–264
- Powell JR, Dobzhansky T, Hook JE, Wistrand HE (1976) Genetics of natural populations. XLIII. Further studies on rates of dispersal of *Drosophila pseudoobscura* and its relatives. Genetics 82:493–506
- R Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from http://www.R-project.org/
- Riley JR, Downham MCA, Cooter RJ (1997) Comparison of the performance of Cicadulina leafhoppers on flight mills with that to be expected in free flight. Entomol Exp Appl 83:317–322
- Roff D (1977) Dispersal in Dipterans: its costs and consequences. J Anim Ecol 46:443–456
- Rowley WA, Graham CL, Williams RE (1968) A flight mill system for the laboratory study of mosquito flight. Ann Entomol Soc Am 61:1507–1514

- Ryan GD, Emiljanowicz L, Wilkinson F, Kornya M, Newman JA (2016) Thermal tolerances of the spotted-wing drosophila Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae). J Econ Entomol 109:746–752
- Sinclair ER, Haddrell RL (1985) Flight of stored products beetles over a grain farming area in southern Queensland. J Aust Entomol 24:9–15
- Taylor RAJ, Bauer LS, Poland TM, Windell KN (2010) Flight performance of *Agrilus planipennis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) on a flight mill in free flight. J Insect Behav 23:128–148
- Tochen S, Dalton DT, Wiman NG, Hamm C, Shearer PW, Walton VM (2014) Temperature-related development and population parameters for *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) on cherry and blueberry. Environ Entomol 43:501–510
- Tochen S, Walton VM, Lee JC (2016a) Impact of floral feeding on adult Drosophila suzukii. J Pest Sci 89:793–802
- Tochen S, Woltz JM, Dalton DT, Lee JC, Wiman NG, Walton VM (2016b) Humidity affects populations of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in blueberry. J Appl Entomol 140:47–57
- US Food and Drug Administration (2008) Fruits nutrition facts. https ://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/GuidanceRegulation/UCM15 3464.pdf. Accessed 15 December 2017
- Van Handel E (1985a) Rapid determination of glycogen and sugars in mosquitoes. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 1:299–301
- Van Handel E (1985b) Rapid determination of total lipids in mosquitoes. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 1:302–304
- Wallingford AK, Loeb GM (2016) Developmental acclimation of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) and its effect on diapause and winter stress tolerance. Environ Entomol 45:1081–1089

- Wang XG, Johnson MW, Daane KM, Opp S (2009) Combined effects of heat stress and food supply on flight performance of olive fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 102:727–734
- Wigglesworth VB (1949) The utilization of reserve substances in *Drosophila* during flight. J Exp Biol 26:150–163
- Wiman NG, Walton VM, Shearer PW, Rondon SI, Lee JC (2015) Factors affecting flight capacity of brown marmorated stinkbug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). J Pest Sci 88:37–47
- Wiman NG, Dalton DT, Anfora G, Biondi A, Chiu JC, Daane KM, Gerdeman B, Gottardello A, Hamby KA, Isaacs R, Grassi A, Ioriatti C, Lee JC, Miller B, Rossi Stacconi MV, Shearer PW, Tanigoshi L, Wang X, Walton VM (2016) *Drosophila suzukii* population response to environment and management strategies. J Pest Sci 89:653–665
- Woltz JM, Donahue KM, Bruck DJ, Lee JC (2015) Efficacy of commercially available predators, nematodes and fungal entomopathogens for augmentative control of *Drosophila suzukii*. J Appl Entomol 139:759–770
- Zerulla FN, Augel C, Zebitz CPW (2017) Oviposition activity of Drosophila suzukii as mediated by ambient and fruit temperature. PLoS ONE 12:e0187682
- Zhang Y, Wang L, Wu K, Wyckhuys KAG, Heimpel GE (2008) Flight performance of the soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) under different temperature and humidity regimens. Environ Entomol 37:301–306
- Ziegler R (1991) Changes in lipid and carbohydrate metabolism during starvation in adult *Manduca sexta*. J Comp Physiol B 161:125–131

# Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature").

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for smallscale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- 1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
- 2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
- 3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- 6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com