Undergraduate Psychology Research Methods Journal

Volume 1 | Issue 18 Article 4

5-2016

The Effects of Stress on False Memory

Claire Van Vranken Lindenwood University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lindenwood.edu/psych_journals



Part of the Psychology Commons

Recommended Citation

Van Vranken, Claire (2016) "The Effects of Stress on False Memory," Undergraduate Psychology Research Methods Journal: Vol. 1: Iss. 18, Article 4.

Available at: https://digitalcommons.lindenwood.edu/psych_journals/vol1/iss18/4

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Psychology, Sociology, and Public Health Department at Digital Commons@Lindenwood University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Undergraduate Psychology Research Methods Journal by an authorized editor of Digital Commons@Lindenwood University. For more information, please contact phuffman@lindenwood.edu.

1

The Effects of Stress on False Memory

Claire Van Vranken³

This study looks at the impact of stress on the creation of these false memories, using the Deese-Roediger-McDermott paradigm (DRM). A false memory is a memory of an event that never really occurred, but is believed that it occurred by the person remembering it. In a typical DRM study, participants are given a list of words that fall under the same category. When the participants are later asked to recall the words on the list, 40% of the participants recall a word that was not on the list with a high rate of confidence (Roediger & McDermott, 1995). Stress has been linked to the creation of false memories in previous studies. One such study revealed that stress can potentially increase the likelihood of false memory recollection; however another similar study reported stress did not affect the incidence of false memory but, that men were found to falsely recall more words than women. In the present study, half of the participants were given a stress inducing task, which consisted of standing up and completing mental math problems, whereas the other participants were asked to color for 5 min. Following these tasks, the participants were given a DRM task, on the computer. I hypothesized that participants that completed the stress-inducing task will be more likely to show false memory and that men will be more susceptible to the impact of stress on the formation of false memories.

False memories are memories of events that never really occurred, but the person that remembers the event strongly believes that the event was real. This study looks at the impact that stress has on the impact on the formation of false memories. One way of clinically inducing false memories is through the Deese-Rodiger-McDermott (DRM) paradigm. The first time that this phenomenon was observed was by James Deese in 1959. Deese (1959) gave participants in his study 36 lists of words, each list consisting of 12 words each falling under a specific category.

³ Claire Van Vranken, Department of Psychology, Lindenwood University. Correspondence regarding this paper should be addressed to Claire Van Vranken, 209 South Kingshighway, St. Charles, MO, 63301, or email at cmv674@lionmail.lindenwood.edu

The participants were then asked immediately to freely recall the words that they had just seen. Free recall is when a person is asked to list off, in this case the words that they had just seen without any prompts. It was found that 44% of the participants recalled seeing words that were not on the list, but rather was the category of the overall list (Deese, 1959). This paradigm that Deese discovered was not researched further until 1995 when Henry Roediger and Kathleen McDermott confirmed Deese's findings. More research on the paradigm was done by Gallo, Roberts, and Seamon (1997); they found that even when the subject knew that the researcher was looking for false memories, the participant was still susceptible to falsely remembering the words on the list they had seen. Even with the forewarning, the DRM paradigm was able to induce false memories in the participants (Gallo, et al., 1997).

Similar studies to the present study have been conducted in the past with mixed results. A study conducted by Payne, Nadel, Allen, Thomas, and Jacobs (2002) found a positive correlation between stress and the increased formation of false memories. However, another study by Smeets, Jelicic, and Merckelbach (2005) found no evidence of a correlation between stress and the formation of false memories. However, they did find that men were more susceptible to the DRM paradigm than women (Smeets, et. al, 2005). Yet another study conducted by Mohamed (2011) also determined that there was no significant impact of stress on false memories. All three

studies used the Trier Social Stress Test. The Trier Social Stress Test uses elements of public speaking and mental math to induce stress in subjects.

The study of how stress can impact false memory is important for the use in eyewitness testimony, in court cases. A study conducted by Deffenbacher, Bornstein, Penrod and McGorty (2004) determined that the impact of stress on eyewitnesses negatively impacted the accuracy of the memory of the eyewitness. Currently, eyewitness testimony is used frequently in identifying suspects in criminal cases. Knowledge of how stress impacts these eyewitnesses' memories is profoundly important to more accurately represent what took place at the time of the event. Eyewitnesses at crime scenes and other traumatic events are going to be under stress, so understanding how stress impacts memories, specifically false memories can be helpful. As humans our memories are malleable and susceptible to suggestion, when this happens, that is a false memory, this frequently happens during interviews by the police following a crime or other stressful event.

The current study was conducted in a similar manner as the studies by Payne et al (2002) and Smeets et al. (2006). A version of the Trier Social Stress Test was used to induce stress in participants, although in this study a measure was taken following the induction of stress to ensure that the measure had been effective. Another variation from the previous studies is that in the current study a computer system was used to display the words in a consistent manner to the

participants. The current study was most similar to the Smeets et al. (2006) study as they also used math in their version of the Trier Social Stress Test.

Method

Participants

There were a total of 20 participants in the study. They were recruited through advertisement from the researcher, Sona Systems, and the Lindenwood Participant Pool.

Compensation provided for participating in the study included extra credit from their corresponding professors, those that were not part of the Lindenwood Participant Pool, were given compensation in the form of chocolate.

The sample was made up of 6 men and 14 women. There were 5 freshmen, 4 sophomores, 3 juniors, and 8 seniors. The age range of participants was from 18 to 27 the mean age was 21.05. The number of participants had English as their first language was 11 and 5 stated that English was not their first language. There was a wide range of majors, 9 in total, they included psychology, biology, international relations, criminal justice, legal studies, finance, philosophy, studio art, and marketing.

Materials and Procedure

Room Young 105 Skinner was used for conducting this study. In this room, a desk, chair, computer and writing utensil was provided so that the participant could comfortably sit and have

a place and writing utensil to answer the surveys. The room used was in Young Hall, and located in Lindenwood University, in the Psychology Research Labs.

The participants were asked to fill out two informed consent forms (see Appendix A).

One consent form was to be kept by the participants, and the other to be kept by the experimenter. Both parties were to fill out information including full name, signature, and date the study took place. The informed consent form is to ensure that the participants in the study was taking part in the study voluntarily, that they understood what taking part in the study required, and that in the event that they felt uncomfortable, they had the option of skipping a question or stopping participation in the study at any time. The participant was also made aware that any information or data obtained from their participation would be kept confidential, and that they were free to contact the researcher at any point in time. The informed consent form is only form that the participants placed their name on. Informed consent forms were kept separate and untraceable to any other data collected.

After filling out the informed consent form, participants were asked to complete a brief demographic survey (see Appendix B). The demographic survey was a self-report survey used to have the participant describe him or herself as accurately as possible questions created by the researcher. In this particular study, the participant was asked for gender, with the options of male, female, transgender, or other; age, where they must write how many years old they are;

current status in college, with the options of "freshman," "sophomore," "junior," "senior," or "not sure," if English is their first language, with the options "yes" or "no," and what the participants major was. Only the participant's subject non-traceable ID number generated by the researcher was placed on this survey. No identifying information will be on the demographic survey.

Participants were randomly assigned to a group; they received a stress inducing measure or was put in the control group, who received no stress. The group of participants that received the stress inducing measure was asked to stand up and complete mental math problems until they completed all 14 problems (see Appendix C). The other group was given a coloring page (see Appendix D). Each participant that received the control measure was asked to color leisurely for 5 min with colored pencils that were provided for the participant.

Following the manipulation, each participant received a survey, The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) to assess their level of stress (see Appendix E) to determine if the stress inducing measure was effective. In the case of this study, the PANAS survey was used as it assesses current state of stress, whereas, the more commonly used PSS assess stress over the past two weeks. The survey asked about the participant's current state of stress. The survey included 20 questions, asking the participant to rank him or herself on a scale of 1 to 5, 1 being very slightly, 5 being extremely. The survey was scored by adding together items 1, 3, 5,

9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, and 19 to find the positive affect score. Following that score, items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15, 18, and 20 were added together to obtain the negative affect score. In the case of this study, I was more interested in the negative affect score to ensure the stress measure was effective. The higher the positive affect number was the more stress the participant was under, the lowest possible negative affect score was 10 while the highest possible was 50.

Participants were given a list of words (see Appendix F) on the computer system ePrime, to memorize. Participants were then asked to freely recall (see Appendix G) words that they saw on the computer screen. Free recall is when participants are asked to remember items without cues to call on. They were then asked to recognize the words that they had originally seen on the ePrime system again. This second test of memory used recognition; this type of memory is when cues are used to trigger memories.

The feedback letter (see Appendix H) was given to participants at the end of the study to thank them for volunteering their time to participate in the study and debrief them on what the experiment was looking at. It was noted that individual results are not processed in this study, but rather, overall findings were of interest, and that it is not possible for the researcher to trace each participant's response on an individual basis. The letter reiterated that the participant is free to contact the researcher conducting the study at any time.

Results

The hypothesis, those under the stress manipulation would be more susceptible to the DRM Paradigm than those under the control measure, was not supported. When all of the data was collected and an independent samples t-test was run, p = .226 meaning no statistically significant correlation was found. The secondary hypothesis, men would be more susceptible to false memories through the DRM than women also proved to be incorrect. An independent samples t-test was run for this data set as well, p = .133, showing no statistical significant correlation. The only data that were found to be statistically significant was the negative affect score for those under the stress manipulation, an independent samples t-test was run and p = .049.

Discussion

The results of this study were limited by the small sample size. Twenty participants was a rather small pool. It would also be better if there were a more even distribution of men and women. Potentially with a larger sample size and a more even distribution of men and women, the hypotheses within this study could hold true. In future studies, I would administer the PANAS survey before and after the control or stress manipulation to ensure that the stress manipulation was effective. As I was scoring the PANAS surveys I noticed that participants were bringing stress in with them. I think that it is vital to ensure that the stress measure is effective by

administering the PANAS survey twice. It also would be interesting to see how other stress manipulations, such as the cold pressor task would be at inducing stress, which would affect the DRM Paradigm more.

A follow up to this study would be to see how stress impacts eyewitness testimony, as this has a multitude of real world applications. Understanding how stress can impact false memory and memory formation in general can be vital to police investigations and other real world applications. False memory is an important subject to study, because the more we understand memory, the more we understand that it is malleable and imperfect.

References

- Deese, J. (1959). On the prediction of occurrence of particular verbal intrusions in immediate recall. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 58(1), 17-22.
- Deffenbacher, K. A., Bornstein, B. H., Penrod, S. D., & Mcgorty, E. K. (2004). A meta-analytic review of the effects of high stress on eyewitness memory. *Law and Human Behavior*, 28(6), 687-706.
- Gallo, D. A., Roberts, M. J., & Seamon, J. G. (1997). Remembering words not presented in lists:

 Can we avoid creating false memories? *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review Psychon Bull*Rev, 4(2), 271-276.

- Payne, J. D., Nadel, L., Allen, J. J., Thomas, K. G., & Jacobs, W. J. (2002). The effects of experimentally induced stress on false recognition. *Memory*, 10(1), 1-6.
- Roediger, H. L., & McDermott, K. B. (1995). Creating false memories: Remembering words not presented in lists. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 21(4), 803-814.
- Smeets, T., Jelicic, M., & Merckelbach, H. (2006). Stress-induced cortisol responses, sex differences, and false recollections in a DRM paradigm. *Biological Psychology*, 72(2), 164-172.

Appendix A

Informed Consent Form

I, _____ (print name), understand that I will be taking part in a research project that requires me to take a memory test after completing a task that may or may not induce a mild level of stress. I will also be completing questions assessing my stress level and answer basic demographic question on a survey. I understand that I should be able to complete this project within 30 minutes. I am aware that I am free to skip any questions in the unlikely event that I feel uncomfortable answering any of the items on any of the surveys or feel uncomfortable completing the stress task. I am also aware that my participation in this study is strictly voluntary and that I may choose to withdraw from the study at any time without any penalty or prejudice. I should not incur any penalty or prejudice because I cannot complete the study. I understand that the information obtained from my responses will be analyzed only as part of aggregate data and that all identifying information will be absent from the data in order to ensure anonymity. I am also aware that my responses will be kept confidential and that data obtained from this study will only be available for research and educational purposes. I understand that any questions I may have regarding this study shall be answered by the researcher(s) involved to my satisfaction. Finally, I verify that I am at least 18 years of age and am legally able to give consent or that I am under the age of 18 but have on file with the LPP

office, a completed parental consent form that allows me to give consent as a minor. I understand

that I will be receiving extra credit through the L	PP, if not recruited through the LPP, I	
understand that I will not receive extra credit.		
	Date:	
(Signature of participant)		
	Date:	
(Signature of researcher obtaining consent		
Student Researcher's Name and Number:	Supervisor:	
Claire Van Vranken	Dr. Michiko Nohara-LeClair	
(616)-299-9668	(636)-949-4371	
cmv674@lionmail.lindenwood.edu	mnohara-leclair@lindenwood.edu	

Appendix B

DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONNAIRE

SU	BJECT ID	NUMBER:	(Assigned	by Researcher)
1)	What Ger	nder do you identify v	vith?		
MA	ALE	FEMALE	TRANSGENDER	OTH	ER
2)	How old	are you?			
3)	What yea	ar are you in school?			
FR	ESHMAN	SOPHOMOR	RE JUNIOR	SENIOR	OTHER
4)	Is English	n your first language?			
YE	S	NO			
5)	What is y	our major?			

Appendix C

MENTAL MATH:

Participants will be given the math problems, verbally and asked to respond verbally.

$$2583 - 300 = 2283$$

$$2283 + 1200 = 3483$$

$$3483 - 90 = 3393$$

$$3393 - 800 = 2593$$

$$2593 + 85 = 2678$$

$$2678 - 650 = 2028$$

$$2028 - 600 = 1428$$

$$1428 + 1155 = 2583$$

$$2583 + 900 = 3483$$

$$3483 - 98 = 3385$$

$$3385 - 300 = 3085$$

$$3085 + 450 = 3535$$

$$3535 - 1500 = 2035$$

$$2035 + 548 = 2583$$

Appendix D



Appendix E

PANAS Questionnaire

This scale consists of a number of words that describe different feelings and emotions. Read each item and then list the number from the scale below next to each word. Indicate to what extent you feel this way right now, that is, at the present moment.

1	2	3	4	5
Very Slightly or	A Little	Moderately	Quite A Bit	Extremely
Not at All				
1. Inter	rested			11. Irritable
2. Dis	tressed			12. Alert
3. Exc	ited			13. Ashamed
4. Ups	et			14. Inspired
5. Stro	ong			15. Nervous
6. Gui	ilty			16. Determined

SPRING 2016 RESEARCH METHODS JOURNAL

7. Scared	17. Attentive
8. Hostile	18. Jittery
9. Enthusiastic	19. Active
10. Proud	20. Afraid

Appendix F

Word Lists:

REST SODA CHILLY

BED BITTER HEAT

NAP PIE ICE

DOZE HEART HOT

TIRED GOOD SNOW

AWAKE COCOA FROST

SNORE TOOTH WET

PILLOW SUGAR ARCTIC

DREAM HONEY WARM

PEACE TART WINTER

DROWSY CANDY AIR

SNOOZE TASTE FREEZE

YAWN NICE WEATHER

BLANKET SOUR FRIGID

SLUMBER CAKE SHIVER

Sleep - Category Word Sweet - Category Word Cold - Category Word

Appendix G

FREE RECALL

1.____

2.____

3._____

4.

5. _____

6.____

7. _____

8.

9._____

10.

11. _____

12._____

13. _____

14._____

15._____

16._____

17._____

18._____

19._____

20. _____

21. _____

22. _____

23. _____

24. _____

SPRING 2016 RESEARCH METHODS JOURNAL

25	26	27
28	29	30
31	32	33
34	35	36
37	38	39
40	41	42
43	44	45

Van Vranken: The Effects of Stress on False Memory

SPRING 2016 RESEARCH METHODS JOURNAL

79

21

Appendix H

Feedback Letter

Thank you for participating in my study. The present study was conducted in order to determine

whether an increase in stress increases the production of false memories. False memories are,

memories of an event that never really occurred, but is believed, with a high degree of certainty,

that it occurred by the person remembering it. In this experiment, false memory occurs when a

person recalls a word that was not on the original list.

Please note that I am not interested in your individual results; rather, I am only interested in the

overall findings based on aggregate data. No identifying information about you will be

associated with any of the findings, nor will it be possible for us to trace your responses on an

individual basis.

If you are interested in obtaining the final results of this study based on aggregate data, or if you

have any questions or concerns regarding any portion of this study, please do not hesitate to let

me know now or in the future. My contact information is found at the bottom of this letter.

Thank you again for your valuable contribution to this study.

Sincerely,

Claire Van Vranken

Principal Investigator:

SPRING 2016 RESEARCH METHODS JOURNAL

Claire Van Vranken 616-299-9668 (cmv674@lionmail,lindenwood.edu)

Supervisor:

Dr. Michiko Nohara-LeClair 636-949-4371 (mnohara-leclair@lindenwood.edu)