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## Introduction:

Dwarf millet plants exhibit stunted growth
Past studies identify 2 common reasons for their dwarfism: Either the plant is incapable of synthesising gibberellin (gibberellin deficient) Or it lacks the receptors that respond to gibberellin (gibberellin unresponsive) [1]. Gibberellin is a plant hormone that stimulates plant growth Previous research shows that dwarf maize plants can exhibit normal growth when treated with a gibberellin solution [2].

## Experimental Design:

 Purpose: use the application of gibberellin to identify the cause of the dwarfism in two varieties of millet.Research question: how do the two dwarf mutant genotypes respond to weekly and triweekly application of gibberellin?
$\mathbf{H}_{0}$ : the dwarf plants will show no growth response to gibberellin. $\mathbf{H}_{1}$ : the dwarf plants will exhibit normal growth if they have the gibberellin deficient genotype, and stunted growth if they have the gibberellin unresponsive genotype. $\mathbf{H}_{2}$ : the gibberellin deficient genotypes will grow slightly taller than the control when gibberellin is applied weekly, and will grow significantly taller when gibberellin is applied tri-weekly.

## Materials \& Method:

3 drops $1.44 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of aqueous gibberellic acid was applied to the soil using a pipette
This solution was applied to wild type (non-mutants), mutant A and mutant B Gibberellin received either $3 \times$ a week, $1 \times$ a week, or not all


## Results:

## Understanding the Graphs:

Each graph contains datapoints depicting the relationship between the average height of the seedlings in each pot and the days since it was planted. The line on each graph shows the linear trend of all collected datapoints to help identify how positive or negative a relationship is.
Each graph has an $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2$ value listed in the upper left corner which tells us how well the regression line fits the data points on the graph. The higher the $R^{\wedge} 2$ value, the stronger the relationship between the two variables.
What the Graphs Tell Us:
We expected a steeper gradient on the graphs of the mutant plants that were treated with gibberellin There is no significant difference, indicating the dwarf plants did not respond to the gibberellin Wild type (non-dwarf) plants grow faster than dwarf plants treated with Gibberellin
The control mutant A pot exhibited a decrease in growth because some seedlings in that pot died mutants in foxtail millet. Plant Growth Regulation, 26, 19-24.

| $3 \times$ a week mutant A | $3 \times$ week mutant A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 x \\ & \text { a week muta } \\ & \text { nt B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { x } \\ & \text { a week muta } \\ & \text { nt B } \end{aligned}$ | $3 x$ a week wild type | $3 \times$ a week wild type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1 x } \\ & \text { a week muta } \\ & \text { nt A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{x} \\ & \text { a week muta } \\ & \text { nt A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1x } \\ & \text { a week muta } \\ & \text { nt B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{x} \\ & \text { a week muta } \\ & \text { nt B } \end{aligned}$ | 1 x <br> a week wild type | a week wild type |
| No gibberelli n mutant A | No gibberelli n mutant A | No gibberelli n mutant B | No gibberelli n mutant $B$ | No gibberellin wild type | No gibberellin wild type |



## Discussion:

- Both varieties of dwarf millet are probably gibberellin unresponsive Alternative hypothesis $\mathbf{H}_{1}$ is correct


## Significance:

- It is important that the mechanisms behind the dwarf growth response of the two mutant millet varieties are identified as it affects agriculture
Dwarfism can reduce crop yield [3]
- Therefore knowing which millet varieties respond positively to gibberellin and which do not will optimise crop performance and save farmers money and resources
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