

Interlimb Asymmetries Differ Between Bilateral and **Unilateral Countermovement Jump**

MEGHAN N. REA, LINDSEY A. SMITH, PAIGE J. SUTTON, PETEY W. MUMFORD, KYLE L. SUNDERLAND Exercise and Performance Nutrition Laboratory, Lindenwood University, St. Charles, MO 63301

Introduction

- >Interlimb asymmetry is a comparison of one limb to the other and has been of great interest in athletic populations.
- ➤Asymmetries of >15% have been related to an increased injury risk whereas an asymmetry of <10% is commonly used for athletes returning to sport following an injury.
- >The countermovement jump (CMJ) has been shown to be a valid and reliable measure of interlimb asymmetry.
- >Asymmetries measured during bilateral and unilateral CMJ have been shown to be unrelated and it has been suggested that the unilateral CMJ better represents limb capacity.
- ➢ Previous literature has reported interlimb asymmetries during a unilateral CMJ in male team-sport athletes.
- >Female collegiate lacrosse demands a variety of movements and velocities which may increase lower limb injury risk.
- >Therefore, it is important to understand the neuromuscular risk factors of these athletes.

Purpose

interlimb ≻To compare the magnitude of asymmetries of female collegiate lacrosse athletes when performing a bilateral and unilateral CMJ.

Methods

- female collegiate ➤Twenty-three lacrosse athletes (20±1 years, 168.2±5.6 cm, 65.7±6.5 kg) volunteered for this study.
- >Athletes performed three bilateral CMJ and three unilateral CMJ using each leg.
- >Jump height, peak and average breaking force, peak and average propulsive force, and breaking rate of force development from the two jumps with highest height was averaged.
- >Interlimb asymmetries were calculated as percent interlimb difference: (100/(maximum value)*(minimum value)*-1+100).
- ➢Paired Student's t-tests were conducted between interlimb asymmetries measured from the bilateral CMJ and unilateral CMJ for each variable.









 \succ Unilateral jump height was 41.3±5.8% and 41.9±7.4% bilateral jump height on the left

different

➢ Peak breaking force (9.2±6.7% vs 5.6±4.2%) > Average breaking force (10.8±6.0% vs

development

CMJ styles for peak and average propulsive

the 15% threshold typically attributed to

interlimb asymmetries in peak and average breaking force while the asymmetry was greater in breaking rate of force development when performing a unilateral CMJ compared to

unilateral change of direction and jumping tasks which may provide rationale for the lower

determine the appropriate tests based on the athlete/sport needs prior to assessing interlimb