Embracing the Irreplaceable: The Role of Neurodiversity in Cultivating Human-AI Symbiosis in Education

Piper Hutson, Lindenwood University, USA https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1787-6143

Phutson@lindenwood.edu

Abstract

This study investigates the indispensable role of human skills—such as empathy, ethical judgment, and nuanced understanding—in the development and application of artificial intelligence (AI) within higher education, highlighting the unique contributions of neurodivergent perspectives in creating a symbiotic human-AI relationship. Drawing upon research that evidences the superior performance of diverse teams in creativity and innovation, the paper argues for the integration of neurodiversity into AI development as a means to address the philosophy of 'fearing the Other,' thereby mitigating biases and fostering ethical AI interactions. The technology sector's adoption of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) programs, including biopsychosocial interventions and environmental adaptations to support the neurodivergent workforce, serves as a model for higher education. By leveraging the estimated 15-20% of the global population which is neurodivergent, this approach not only aims to alleviate the employment disparities faced by neurodivergent individuals, but also enriches the ethical and innovative capacities of educational AI systems. This concise analysis advocates for an educational technology landscape that not only replicates human intelligence but also embodies human values, thanks to the invaluable contributions of the neurodivergent community.

Keywords: *Neurodiversity, Artificial Intelligence, Ethical judgment, Human-AI symbiosis, Higher Education*

Introduction

Research into neurological or developmental conditions such as autism spectrum condition (ASC) has garnered considerable focus in the last decade, reflecting a broader societal recognition of their importance (Schall, 2010; Scott et al., 2018; Bury et al., 2020; Scott et al., 2022). Parallel to the concept of biodiversity, neurodiversity champions the notion that deviations in neurological development from what is traditionally considered 'normal' represent a natural and valuable form of biological diversity, meriting both acceptance and support. The term "neurodiversity" was coined in 1998 by Judy Singer, an Australian social scientist (Deakin, 2022), to advocate for a more inclusive understanding of neurological differences.

Baron-Cohen (2019) elaborates on this framework, suggesting that while certain differences may present as disabilities in highly social and unpredictable environments, creating autism-friendly

spaces can minimize these challenges, allowing individuals' unique talents to flourish. This implies that environmental adjustments are crucial, as typical workplace designs with open-concept floorplans and insufficient sensory accommodations can pose significant barriers for those with neurodivergent conditions (Gaudion, 2016; Harnett, 2019). Consequently, many individuals identifying as neurodivergent do not view their cognitive processing as inherently disabling, instead they argue it is the disabling factors which arise from unsupportive learning and performance environments. Although the most recognized characteristics of neurodiversity are often associated with ASC—including challenges in communication, social interaction, and repetitive behaviors—the spectrum encompasses a wide range of experiences and sensory needs, necessitating tailored approaches in both educational and workplace settings.

Neurodiversity encompasses a broad array of cognitive functions and behavioral characteristics, such as social communication capabilities, emotional recognition and expression, attention levels, and various other mental processes (Dawson, Franz, & Brandsen, 2022). Early investigations into neurodiversity primarily followed a medical model, emphasizing the need for prevention and cure of the impairments frequently associated with these conditions. This approach often led to discussions within psychological and medical literature that focused on defining neurodivergent individuals by their deficits, a perspective rooted in a normative history of educational and social exclusion (Rogers & Vismara, 2008; Doyle, 2020).

For instance, the recognition of dyslexia became prevalent with the increasing emphasis on literacy (Politi-Georgousi & Drigas, 2020); ADHD diagnoses surged with the demands of sedentary lifestyles post-industrial revolution (Olsson & Hibbs Jr, 2005); and autism became more pronounced with the necessity for frequent social interaction and the presence in sensory-regulated environments like modern workplaces (Lawson, Mathys, & Rees, 2017). The term "disorder" itself, often used when the root causes of symptoms are unknown, and "disability", implying a deviation below expected neurological or physical functioning, reflect these deficit-based perspectives. Moreover, comorbidities are prevalent within the neurodivergent community, with studies indicating that 50% of individuals diagnosed with autism spectrum condition (ASC) experience at least four concurrent conditions, including learning and language difficulties. Furthermore, about 75% of autistic individuals may also exhibit traits associated with ADHD, which affects working memory, impulse control, focus, stress management, and organizational skills among other areas (Baron-Cohen, 2019), underscoring the complex interplay of challenges and capabilities within the neurodivergent population.

As the discourse on neurodiversity unveils the unique contributions neurodivergent thinkers bring to education and the workforce—highlighting their potential to innovate and solve problems through distinct cognitive processes—the parallel extends into the realm of AI. This progression underscores the critical importance of integrating diverse perspectives into AI development. By valuing what neurodivergent individuals offer, AI technologies can be enriched with broader, more inclusive insights, enhancing their ability to address complex challenges with innovative solutions. For instance, large language models (LLMs) like ChatGPT are trained on vast and diverse datasets, encompassing a wide range of internet text, to ensure a comprehensive understanding of human language nuances. This training process includes texts from books,

DOI: 10.62608/2831-3550.1020

websites, and other digital media, aiming to capture the plurality of human thought and expression. However, given the potential for these datasets to include biased or offensive content, developers implement robust guardrails to mitigate such risks. These guardrails involve filtering mechanisms to exclude explicit, harmful content from the training data, and fine-tuning processes that guide the model towards generating responses that align with ethical guidelines and societal norms. Furthermore, continual updates and monitoring are conducted to refine these models, ensuring they evolve in response to emerging ethical considerations and societal changes. The application of these methodologies demonstrates a commitment to reducing prejudice and offensive output in AI interactions, fostering a more respectful and inclusive digital communication environment (Bender et al., 2021; OpenAI, 2022).

As AI increasingly influences human experiences, the necessity of integrating neurodivergent perspectives into AI development becomes paramount to ensure a diversity of thought and innovation. The current landscape, primarily influenced by neurotypical knowledge and interactions, overlooks the rich, varied insights that neurodivergent individuals offer—insights that are crucial for pioneering novel approaches and perspectives in AI technologies. Despite some progress, such as inclusion of broader datasets in training processes, there remains a substantial gap in deliberate, systematic efforts to involve neurodivergent viewpoints directly within the development and assessment of language models. This study aims to bridge this gap by advocating for the engagement of neurodivergent individuals in the creation and fine-tuning of AI, alongside employing advanced training methodologies focused on enhancing diversity and inclusivity.

The benefits of such an integrated approach are multifaceted. Neurodivergent persons possess unique cognitive processing abilities—such as heightened attention to detail, superior pattern recognition, and innovative problem-solving—that are invaluable for identifying biases and enhancing the performance of AI systems. This research intends to leverage these abilities to improve AI's accuracy, inclusiveness, and creativity. By doing so, it seeks to challenge and expand the AI field's existing frameworks, advocating for a model of development that truly encompasses the full spectrum of human cognitive diversity. The anticipated results of this study will contribute significantly to the discourse on the essential role of neurodiversity in AI, underscoring the need for a more inclusive and innovative future in technological advancement.

Literature Review

With an estimated 1.2 billion neurodivergent individuals globally, there is a pressing need for organizations to comprehend their distinctive needs, talents, obstacles, and goals (CDC, 2022). The past two decades have witnessed a paradigm shift in the perception of neurodiversity, moving from a focus on treatment to support, and from a diagnostic to an identity-first vernacular (ASAN, 2022). This evolution in language reflects a broader societal shift towards recognizing neurodiversity not as a series of "Specific Learning Difficulties" but as a spectrum of cognitive differences with unique strengths and challenges (Dwyer & Sadhbh, 2022). Historically, research into autism spectrum condition (ASC) aimed to alleviate the perceived

"burden" on caregivers and society, with interventions like Applied Behavioral Analysis (ABA) focusing on normalizing behaviors (Senokossoff, 2016). Contemporary discussions, however, emphasize "difference" rather than deficit, acknowledging the inherent diversity in human brain function (Griffin & Pollak, 2009; Murray et al., 2022). Scientifically, a portion of autism is linked to rare genetic variances, suggesting a broader spectrum of neurodiversity beyond ASC (Wosniak et al., 2017).

Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) represents one of the most recognized forms of neurodiversity. Despite its commonality, discussions often exclude ADHD from broader neurodivergent classifications (Sonuga-Barke & Thapar, 2021). Research indicates that neurodivergent brains, including those with ADHD, exhibit differences in brain structure and neurotransmitter activity, such as increased amygdala size and altered dopamine levels, challenging the pathologization of ADHD characteristics (van Harmelen, 2013; Xing et al., 2022). The term "variable attention stimulus trait" (VAST) has been proposed to more accurately describe the ADHD experience, highlighting the condition's complexities beyond attention deficits (Hallowell & Ratey, 2022). Individuals with ADHD often demonstrate exceptional creativity, energy, and hyper-focus, qualities increasingly valued in the professional world (Fabritius, 2022).

ASC visibility has surged, influenced by popular culture that often skews perceptions towards a stereotype of white, male geniuses. This representation neglects the diversity and complexity within the autism spectrum, oversimplifying the condition to a set of desirable traits while ignoring the challenges individuals face (Pomerance & Palmer, eds. 2022). Neurodivergent coaching strategies that focus solely on enhancing strengths risk neglecting the broader spectrum of needs and challenges individuals with ASC encounter. Research emphasizes the importance of supporting all aspects of neurodivergent individuals, including communication and social interaction difficulties, to foster an inclusive environment where diverse cognitive abilities are recognized and valued (Araujo, Mophosho, & Moonsamy, 2022).

Individuals across the neurodiversity spectrum, much like their neurotypical counterparts, experience sensory stimuli in distinct ways based on their unique histories and sensory sensitivities. These sensory experiences can vary greatly among individuals, leading to preferences for certain stimuli or aversions to others. For some, external sounds and lights may trigger a survival response, a mechanism that operates beyond conscious control, indicating a heightened state of vigilance that is common among neurodivergent people (Bellato et al., 2022). This heightened vigilance can stem from challenges in regulating the nervous system, often observed in those with sensory sensitivities or PTSD-like cognitive patterns (Elton et al., 2022). Research has linked an enlarged amygdala in individuals with ASC to nervous system overstimulation, which can precipitate intense stress responses, sometimes manifesting as "meltdowns" (Andrews et al., 2022; Karim, Akter, & Patwary, 2022). During such episodes, the amygdala overpowers the prefrontal cortex, leading to a cognitive shutdown that can have profound emotional and physical repercussions, emphasizing the importance of sensory-aware environments, particularly in the workplace.

DOI: 10.62608/2831-3550.1020

The contributions of neurodivergent individuals in professional settings are invaluable, yet misconceptions persist. Contrary to the stereotype of emotional detachment, many in the neurodivergent community possess deep empathy but may become easily disheartened (Shalev et al., 2022). Additionally, a susceptibility to negative thought patterns, such as catastrophizing, can lead to heightened anxiety and depression, a scenario often exacerbated in undiagnosed women who may struggle with workplace challenges in silence (Ginapp et al., 2022; Kasahara, 2022). Employees with ADHD, in particular, may feel undervalued, affecting their self-esteem (Harris, 2020). Moreover, neurodivergent individuals often experience criticism more intensely, with rejection sensitive dysphoria (RSD) representing an extreme reaction to negative feedback or perceived rejection (Dwyer, 2022). Feedback methods in professional environments, therefore, should be carefully structured to be constructive and based on clear, measurable criteria.

Despite these challenges, the neurodivergent workforce brings a plethora of strengths to the table. Known for their meticulous attention to detail, process-oriented approach, reliability, and dedication, neurodivergent individuals excel in various tasks (Saleh et al., 2022). Those with ASC can display remarkable perceptual abilities, intense concentration, and innovative problem-solving skills. ADHD individuals often exhibit hyper-focus, creativity, and dynamic energy, while persons with dyslexia may show strong spatial skills and entrepreneurial spirit (Fabritius, 2022; Smith-Spark & Gordon, 2022). The lesser-discussed talents, such as those found in visual thinkers, include innovation and advanced geospatial capabilities (Oliviero, 2008). Collectively, the neurodivergent population contributes uniquely to the workplace, bringing skills like creative problem-solving, coding expertise, and empathetic understanding to their roles.

Recommendations

The integration of diverse cognitive processes, particularly those present within the neurodivergent community, into problem-solving, thinking, and decision-making frameworks stands as a pivotal countermeasure against the pitfalls of groupthink, homogenization, and autophagy that can afflict large language models and collective intelligence systems. These pitfalls manifest as a lack of creativity, innovation, and critical thought, leading to stagnant or regressive intellectual environments. Groupthink, a phenomenon where the desire for harmony or conformity in a group results in an irrational or dysfunctional decision-making outcome, poses a significant risk to the development and application of large language models (Callaway & Esser, 1984; Gomes et al., 2019). Such models, when trained on homogenized data sources that lack diversity, may inadvertently propagate narrow viewpoints, perpetuating biases and reinforcing stereotypes (Buchanan, 2023). The homogenization of thought not only limits model ability to generate diverse and innovative outputs but also risks echoing and amplifying existing societal biases, thus hindering progress towards equitable and inclusive AI systems (Anderson et al., 2024).

The homogenization of data inputs and the consequent outputs in AI and LLMs result in a loss of nuanced understanding and creativity (Liang et al., 2024). This process, akin to intellectual autophagy, where the system cyclically consumes and regenerates based on a uniform set of data,

stifles the emergence of novel ideas and solutions. Without the infusion of diverse perspectives, particularly those from neurodivergent individuals who possess unique problem-solving abilities and cognitive processes, AI systems risk becoming echo chambers that lack the capability to address complex, real-world problems in innovative ways. To counter this, neurodivergent individuals offer a broad spectrum of cognitive styles and approaches to problem-solving, thinking, and decision-making that can significantly enrich AI development and application (Ashbell-Clarke, 2023). For instance, the heightened pattern recognition capabilities of individuals with ASC can enhance the ability of these tools to identify trends and anomalies within large datasets, while the creative problem-solving traits seen in those with ADHD could inspire more inventive AI solutions. Similarly, the detailed-oriented nature and spatial intelligence of individuals with dyslexia can contribute to more thorough and considerate AI algorithms.

Therefore, incorporating neurodiversity into AI development and training of LLMs disrupts the cycle of intellectual autophagy by introducing fresh, unconventional insights that can challenge prevailing norms and inspire novel approaches**.** By valuing and integrating the distinct problem-solving methods and cognitive styles of the neurodivergent population, AI systems can transcend the limitations of groupthink and homogenization, fostering a more innovative, adaptable, and inclusive technological landscape (Ulnicane & Aden, 2023). Thus, the acknowledgment and inclusion of neurodivergent perspectives not only address ethical imperatives for diversity and inclusion but also serve as a strategic advantage in enhancing the problem-solving and decision-making capacities of these systems. In addressing the imperative to weave neurodivergent perspectives into the fabric of these developments, a comprehensive strategy emerges, encapsulating several pivotal recommendations **(Table 1)**.

First and foremost, the active involvement of neurodivergent individuals throughout the development, training, and evaluation stages of AI systems is paramount. This direct engagement ensures that the diverse spectrum of human cognition informs the evolution of AI, enabling these systems to reflect a broader range of human experiences and insights. Such a participatory approach not only democratizes the development process but also enriches the AI with nuanced understandings that might otherwise be overlooked. The diversification of training datasets stands as a critical step towards mitigating the biases inherent in AI models trained predominantly on neurotypical data (Naseer et al., 2023). Through the incorporation of a wide array of data sources, including inputs reflective of the neurodivergent experience, AI systems can move towards outputs that are more equitable and representative of societal diversity. This effort to broaden the datasets aims to challenge and ultimately diminish the prevalence of homogenized thought patterns within AI outputs, fostering a technology landscape that is both inclusive and innovative (Jiao et al., 2023). Counterfactual data augmentation represents another innovative technique aimed at enhancing comprehension of diverse human behaviors and conditions. The simulation of alternative viewpoints and scenarios within the training data, AI can develop a more comprehensive grasp of the complexities and variances in human cognition, further distancing itself from the pitfalls of oversimplification and bias (Singla et al., 2023).

Recognizing and leveraging the unique strengths of neurodivergent individuals—such as exceptional pattern recognition, attention to detail, and creative problem-solving abilities—can significantly contribute to the enhancement of analytical and creative capacities of AI (Pacilio, 2024). These distinct cognitive processes offer invaluable insights that can help identify and correct biases within AI models, leading to outcomes that are not only more accurate but also more inclusive. Fostering a culture of inclusivity and collaboration within the AI development ecosystem is essential. Promoting diversity of thought and encouraging cooperation between neurotypical and neurodivergent team members can create a rich environment for innovation, where diverse perspectives are valued and explored. Such a culture not only supports the well-being of all contributors but also catalyzes the emergence of groundbreaking solutions that might not arise in a more homogenized setting (LeFebre-Levy et al., 2023).

Lastly, a commitment to continuous learning and adaptation is crucial. Establishing ongoing feedback loops with neurodivergent users and stakeholders regarding AI systems can be iteratively refined to better meet the needs of a diverse user base. This process ensures that AI technologies remain responsive and relevant, evolving in tandem with our growing understanding of the full spectrum of human cognitive diversity. In sum, by embracing these recommendations, the development of AI can transcend the limitations of current paradigms, embracing the vast potential of neurodiversity to inspire innovation and inclusivity in technology. This approach not only aligns with ethical imperatives for diversity and equity but also enhances the capability of AI systems to serve a broader segment of society, reflecting the rich tapestry of human cognition and experience.

Table 1. Strategies for Integrating Neurodivergent Perspectives into AI Development

Recommendation	Action Steps	Expected Outcome
Active Involvement in Development Processes	- Prioritize the inclusion of neurodivergent individuals in AI development stages. - Ensure their perspectives are considered in training and evaluation.	AI systems that better understand and represent the diversity of human experiences.
Diversification of Training Datasets	- Incorporate diverse data sources, including inputs from neurodivergent individuals. - Utilize data representing a broad spectrum of human experiences.	Reduced biases in AI outputs, leading to more equitable and representative technological solutions.
Counterfactual Data Augmentation	- Implement techniques to simulate alternative viewpoints and conditions in training data.	Enhanced AI understanding of diverse perspectives and complexities in human behavior and cognition.
Recognition and Utilization of Neurodivergent Strengths	- Leverage the unique abilities of neurodivergent individuals, such as pattern recognition and creative problem-solving. - Apply these strengths to enhance AI's analytical and innovative capacities.	Improved accuracy and innovation in AI models, addressing biases and enhancing analytical capabilities.
Fostering a Culture of Inclusivity and Collaboration	- Promote diversity of thought and encourage collaboration between neurotypical and neurodivergent team members.	A collaborative and innovative work environment that benefits from the full range of human cognitive diversity.

Continuous Learning and Adaptation	- Cultivate an environment that values all contributions and supports the well-being of all employees. - Establish feedback loops involving neurodivergent users and stakeholders. - Use feedback for the iterative improvement of AI systems to ensure they remain responsive to a diversity of needs and experiences.	AI systems that evolve in response to new insights and remain relevant and responsive to the needs of a diverse user base.

Conclusion

The integration of neurodivergent perspectives into AI development emerges as a critical imperative in the contemporary technological landscape. This review underscores the increasing concern over the potential to replicate and perpetuate neurotypical biases, thereby overshadowing the rich tapestry of human cognition that neurodivergent individuals offer. The discussion illuminated the necessity for AI systems not only to mimic human thought processes but to encapsulate the full spectrum of human experience, including those outside the neurotypical norm. Recognizing the unique strengths and perspectives of neurodivergent individuals—ranging from exceptional attention to detail to innovative problem-solving capabilities—provides a compelling case for their inclusion in the AI development process.

The review proposed a series of recommendations aimed at fostering a more inclusive, innovative, and representative AI landscape. These included the active involvement of neurodivergent individuals in these development stages, the diversification of training datasets to include a broader range of human experiences, and the adoption of counterfactual data augmentation techniques. Additionally, it highlighted the importance of leveraging neurodivergent strengths, fostering a culture of inclusivity and collaboration, and committing to continuous learning and adaptation based on feedback from neurodivergent users and stakeholders.

Moving forward, further research is essential to explore the practical applications of these recommendations within the AI development process. Studies should focus on identifying effective strategies for involving neurodivergent individuals in AI projects, assessing the impact of diversified datasets on AI performance, and evaluating the outcomes of AI systems that incorporate neurodivergent perspectives. Furthermore, research should aim to quantify the benefits of neurodivergent inclusion in terms of innovation, accuracy, and user satisfaction, providing empirical evidence to support the adoption of these practices industry-wide. Ultimately, embracing neurodiversity in AI development is not just an ethical imperative—it is a strategic advantage. By broadening the cognitive horizons of AI systems, we can ensure that technology advances in a way that is truly reflective of, and beneficial to, the full diversity of human society. The recommendations outlined in this review provide a roadmap for achieving a more inclusive and innovative future for AI, paving the way for research and development efforts that celebrate and harness the unique contributions of the neurodivergent community.

DOI: 10.62608/2831-3550.1020

Data Availability

Data available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Funding Statement

NA

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization, P. Hutson; Methodology, P. Hutson; Validation, P. Hutson; Investigation, P. Hutson – Original Draft Preparation, P. Hutson; Writing – Review & Editing, P. Hutson.; Visualization, P. Hutson.

References

Anderson, C., Butt, C., & Sarsony, C. (2021). Young adults on the autism spectrum and early employment-related experiences: Aspirations and obstacles. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, *51*(1), 88-105.

Anderson, B. R., Shah, J. H., & Kreminski, M. (2024). Homogenization Effects of Large Language Models on Human Creative Ideation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01536.*

Andrews, D. S., Aksman, L., Kerns, C. M., Lee, J. K., Winder-Patel, B. M., Harvey, D. J., ... & Amaral, D. G. (2022). Association of amygdala development with different forms of anxiety in autism spectrum disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *91*(11), 977-987.

Annabi, H., & Locke, J. (2019). A theoretical framework for investigating the context for creating employment success in information technology for individuals with autism. *Journal of Management & Organization*, *25*(4), 499-515.

Annamalai, S., & Niranjan, L. R. (2022). Generation A: Life Perspectives, Potentials, Challenges and Future of Neurodiverse Stars in India. In *Generation A* (pp. 179-198). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Aquino, S. (2022). Literacy Software Maker Texthelp Releases Results of Survey on Neurodiversity in the Workplace. *Forbes.* September 13, 2022. Retrieved 13 November 2022, from: https://www.forbes.com/sites/stevenaquino/2022/09/13/literacy-software-maker-texthelp-releases-results-of-survey-on-neurodiversity-in-the-workplace/?sh=3d8a11766419

Araujo, M., Mophosho, M., & Moonsamy, S. (2022). Communication strategies used by adolescents with autism spectrum disorder and health professionals during treatment. *African Journal of Disability*, *11*, 811.

ARE Consultants (2021). Attracting The Overlooked "Diverse" Population. May 06, 2021. Retrieved 13 November, 2022, from: https://www.are-consultants.com/attracting-the-overlooked-diverse-population

Asbell-Clarke, J. (2023). *Reaching and Teaching Neurodivergent Learners in STEM: Strategies for Embracing Uniquely Talented Problem Solvers.* Taylor & Francis.

Baron-Cohen, S. (2019). The Concept of Neurodiversity Is Dividing the Autism Community. Scientific American. April 30, 2019. Retrieved 12 November 2022, from: https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/observations/the-concept-of-neurodiversity-is-dividing-the-autism-community/

Bellato, A., Arora, I., Kochhar, P., Hollis, C., & Groom, M. J. (2022). Indices of heart rate variability and performance during a response-conflict task are differently associated with ADHD and autism. *Journal of attention disorders*, *26*(3), 434-446.

Bender, E. M., Gebru, T., McMillan-Major, A., & Shmitchell, S. (2021, March). On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? 🦜. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency* (pp. 610-623).

Bruyère, S. M., & Colella, A. (Eds.). (2022). *Neurodiversity in the Workplace: Interests, Issues, and Opportunities*. Taylor & Francis.

Buchanan, V. (2023). *You and I Are Not the Same: A Comparison of Human and Artificial Intelligent Advisors* (Doctoral dissertation, Arizona State University).

Bury, S. M., Hedley, D., Uljarević, M., & Gal, E. (2020). The autism advantage at work: A critical and systematic review of current evidence. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, *105*, 103750.

Bury, S. M., Spoor, J. R., Hayward, S. M., & Hedley, D. (2022). Supporting the Mental Health and Well-Being of Autistic and Other Neurodivergent Employees in the Work Environment. In *Neurodiversity in the Workplace* (pp. 241-266). Routledge.

Callaway, M. R., & Esser, J. K. (1984). Groupthink: Effects of cohesiveness and problem-solving procedures on group decision making. *Social Behavior and Personality: an international journal*, *12*(2), 157-164.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2022). Autism Spectrum Disorder Data and Statistics, Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Dawson, G., Franz, L., & Brandsen, S. (2022). At a Crossroads—Reconsidering the Goals of Autism Early Behavioral Intervention From a Neurodiversity Perspective. *JAMA pediatrics*, *176*(9), 839-840.

Deakin, T. (2022). How can museums increase access for neurodiverse audiences? *Museum Next: Health & Wellbeing*. Retrieved 5 August 5 2022, from

https://www.museumnext.com/article/how-can-museums-increase-accessibility-for-neurodiverse-audiences/?adlt=strict

Dewi, K. W., Purbaningrum, E., Budayasa, I. K., & Andajani, S. J. (2022). Internvention Effort for Individuals with Autism During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Indonesian Journal of Disability Studies*, *9*(1), 89-104.

Douglas, K. (2008). Analysis of the impact of the agricultural productivity enhancement program on the technical and allocative efficiency of maize farmers in Masindi District. *Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda (Sept)*.

Doyle, N. (2020). Neurodiversity at work: a biopsychosocial model and the impact on working adults. *British Medical Bulletin*, *135*(1), 108.

Dwyer, O., & Sadhbh, D. M. (2022). Circles within circles: The transformative learning of Specific Learning Difficulties (SpLD) tutors in UK universities when they engage collaboratively with theories of social justice and critical pedagogy. Doctoral dissertation, University of Southampton.

Dwyer, P. (2022). The Neurodiversity Approach (es): What Are They and What Do They Mean for Researchers?. *Human Development*, *66*(2), 73-92.

Elsherif, M. M., Middleton, S. L., Phan, J. M., Azevedo, F., Iley, B. J., Grose-Hodge, M., ... & Dokovova, M. (2022). Bridging Neurodiversity and Open Scholarship: How shared values can Guide best practices for research integrity, social justice, and principled education. *MetaArXiv. June*, *20*.

Elton, V., Leuzinger-Bohleber, M., Schlesinger-Kipp, G., & Pender, V. B. (Eds.). (2022). *Trauma, flight and migration: psychoanalytic perspectives*. Taylor & Francis.

Fabritius, F. (2022). *The Brain-Friendly Workplace: Why Talented People Quit and How to Get Them to Stay*. Rowman & Littlefield.

Filipe, A. M. (2022). The other face of medical globalization? Pharmaceutical data, prescribing trends, and the social localization of psychostimulants. *BioSocieties*, 1-23.

Garriga, E. (2022). The Role of Champion Metaphors in Humanizing Business and Stakeholder Relationships. In *Humanizing Business* (pp. 503-527). Springer, Cham.

Gaudion, K. (2016). Building Empathy: Autism and the Workplace. *London: The Helen Hamlyn Centre for Design. Royal College of Art*.

Ginapp, C. M., Macdonald-Gagnon, G., Angarita, G. A., Bold, K. W., & Potenza, M. N. (2022). The lived experiences of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A rapid review of qualitative evidence. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *13*.

Gomes, P. F., Reia, S. M., Rodrigues, F. A., & Fontanari, J. F. (2019). Mobility helps problem-solving systems to avoid groupthink. *Physical Review E*, *99*(3), 032301.

Griffin, E., & Pollak, D. (2009). Student experiences of neurodiversity in higher education: insights from the BRAINHE project. *Dyslexia*, *15*(1), 23-41.

Gschwind, L., Ratzmann, N., & Beste, J. (2022). Protected against all odds? A mixed-methods study on the risk of welfare sanctions for immigrants in Germany. *Social Policy & Administration*, *56*(3), 502-517.

Haller, O. T. D., & Carroll, O. T. D. (2022). The Simulated Café: A Virtually Delivered Pre-employment Transition Program for Students with Autism.

Hallowell, E. M., & Ratey, J. J. (2022). *ADHD 2. 0: New Science and Essential Strategies for Thriving with Distraction--From Childhood Through Adulthood*. Ballantine Books.

Harnett, T. (2019). Issues in employment for autistic adults: open plan offices. *Good Autism Practice*, *20*(2).

Harper, T. (2022). How To Hire and Retain Neurodivergent Employees. Forbes. August 22, 2022. Retrieved 12 November, 2022, from: https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbeshumanresourcescouncil/2022/08/22/how-to-hire-and-retain-neurodivergent-employees/?sh=7f3f6cd0264e

Harris, J. L. (2020). *The experience of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the workplace* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

Henneborn, L. (2021). Make it Safe for Employees to Disclose Their Disabilities. *Harvard Business Review*. June 28, 2021. Retrieved 13 November, 2022, from: https://hbr.org/2021/06/make-it-safe-for-employees-to-disclose-their-disabilities#:~:text=Our%20research%20found%20that%20employees,than%20those%20who%20don't.

Herrick, S. J., Lu, W., Oursler, J., Beninato, J., Gbadamosi, S., Durante, A., & Meyers, E. (2022). Soft skills for success for job seekers with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, (Preprint), 1-14.

Hess, J. L., Akutagava-Martins, G. C., Patak, J. D., Glatt, S. J., & Faraone, S. V. (2018). Why is there selective subcortical vulnerability in ADHD? Clues from postmortem brain gene expression data. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *23*(8), 1787-1793.

Howell, M., Bradshaw, J., & Langdon, P. E. (2022). 'There isn't a checklist in the world that's got that on it': Special needs teachers' opinions on the assessment and teaching priorities of pupils on the autism spectrum. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities*, *26*(1), 211-226.

Jiao, B., Su, Y., Li, Q., Manara, V., & Wild, M. (2023). An integrated and homogenized global surface solar radiation dataset and its reconstruction based on an artificial intelligence approach. *Earth System Science Data Discussions*, *2023*, 1-37.

Ji, X., Liu, S., & Lang, J. (2022). Assessing the impact of officials' turnover on urban economic efficiency: From the perspective of political promotion incentive and power rent-seeking incentive. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 101264.

Jones, G. (2015, April). Autism: enhancing whole school practice and the skills and understanding of the workforce. In *SEN Policy Research Forum: Professional training in the changing context of SEN and disability policy and practice* (Vol. 15, No. 2, p. 154).

Johnson, K. R., & Williams, K. (2022). Workplace Training for Employees with Autism Spectrum Disorder. In *Generation A*. Emerald Publishing Limited.

Karim, S., Akter, N., & Patwary, M. J. (2022, February). Predicting Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) meltdown using Fuzzy Semi-Supervised Learning with NNRW. In *2022 International Conference on Innovations in Science, Engineering and Technology (ICISET)* (pp. 367-372). IEEE.

Kasahara, S., Takao, C., Matsudaira, K., Sato, N., Tu, T. T. H., Niwa, S. I., ... & Toyofuku, A. (2022). Case report: Treatment of persistent atypical odontalgia with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder with risperidone and atomoxetine. *Frontiers in Pain Research*, *3*.

Koohsari, M. J., McCormack, G. R., Nakaya, T., Shibata, A., Ishii, K., Lin, C. Y., ... & Oka, K. (2022). Perceived workplace layout design and work-related physical activity and sitting time. *Building and Environment*, *211*, 108739.

Kong, D., & Chen, Z. (2023). Research on the planning and design of theme-based urban community park. In *Advances in Energy Materials and Environment Engineering* (pp. 806-813). CRC Press.

Kristofik, A., & Johnson, K. L. (2022). Rehabilitation Services for Young Adults with ASD. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, *18*, 2361-2366.

Kwon, K., & Rupp, D. E. (2013). High-performer turnover and firm performance: The moderating role of human capital investment and firm reputation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *34*(1), 129-150.

Lawson, R. P., Mathys, C., & Rees, G. (2017). Adults with autism overestimate the volatility of the sensory environment. *Nature neuroscience*, *20*(9), 1293-1299.

LeFevre-Levy, R., Melson-Silimon, A., Harmata, R., Hulett, A. L., & Carter, N. T. (2023). Neurodiversity in the workplace: Considering neuroatypicality as a form of diversity. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, *16*(1), 1-19.

Lechowski, G., & Krzywdzinski, M. (2022). Emerging positions of German firms in the industrial internet of things: A global technological ecosystem perspective. *Global Networks*, *22*(4), 666-683.

Liang, W., Izzo, Z., Zhang, Y., Lepp, H., Cao, H., Zhao, X., ... & Zou, J. Y. (2024). Monitoring AI-Modified Content at Scale: A Case Study on the Impact of ChatGPT on AI Conference Peer Reviews. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07183*.

DOI: 10.62608/2831-3550.1020

Lindstrom, L., Doren, B., Metheny, J., Johnson, P., & Zane, C. (2007). Transition to employment: Role of the family in career development. *Exceptional Children*, *73*(3), 348-366.

Loiacono, E. T., & Ren, H. (2018). Building a Neurodiverse High-tech Workforce. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, *17*(4).

Maslahati, T., Bachmann, C. J., Höfer, J., Küpper, C., Stroth, S., Wolff, N., ... & Roepke, S. (2022). How do adults with autism spectrum disorder participate in the labor market? A German multi-center survey. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, *52*(3), 1066-1076.

Morris, M. R., Begel, A., & Wiedermann, B. (2015, October). Understanding the challenges faced by neurodiverse software engineering employees: Towards a more inclusive and productive technical workforce. In *Proceedings of the 17th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on computers & accessibility* (pp. 173-184).

Murray, D., Milton, D., Green, J., & Bervoets, J. (2022). The Human Spectrum: A Phenomenological Enquiry within Neurodiversity. *Psychopathology*, 1-11.

Naseer, M., Prabakaran, B. S., Hasan, O., & Shafique, M. (2023). UnbiasedNets: a dataset diversification framework for robustness bias alleviation in neural networks. *Machine Learning*, 1-28.

National Autistic Society. (2021). New shocking data highlights the autism employment gap. 19 February 2021, from: https://www.autism.org.uk/what-we-do/news/new-data-on-the-autism-employment-gap

Oliverio, A. (2008). Brain and creativity. *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement*, *173*, 66-78.

Olsson, O., & Hibbs Jr, D. A. (2005). Biogeography and long-run economic development. *European Economic Review*, *49*(4), 909-938.

OpenAI. (2022). *ChatGPT: Optimizing Language Models for Dialogue*. Retrieved from https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt

Pacilio, A. (2024). Embracing Neurodiversity in Accounting and Finance: Neurodivergent employees can foster a culture of innovation, problem solving, and different perspectives. *Strategic Finance*, *105*(7), 39-42.

Parcesepe, A. M., & Cabassa, L. J. (2013). Public stigma of mental illness in the United States: A systematic literature review. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, *40*(5), 384-399.

Patterson, L. (2018). The disability rights movement in the United States. *The Oxford handbook of disability history*, 439-458.

Patton, E. (2022). Workplace Accommodation Considerations for a Neurodiverse Workforce. In *Neurodiversity in the Workplace* (pp. 189-210). Routledge.

Politi-Georgousi, S., & Drigas, A. (2020). Mobile Applications, an Emerging Powerful Tool for Dyslexia Screening and Intervention: A Systematic Literature Review.

Pomerance, M., & Palmer, R. B. (Eds.). (2022). *Autism in Film and Television: On the Island*. University of Texas Press.

Proff, I., Williams, G. L., Quadt, L., & Garfinkel, S. N. (2022). Sensory processing in autism across exteroceptive and interoceptive domains. *Psychology & Neuroscience*, *15*(2), 105.

Radulski, E. M. (2022). Conceptualising autistic masking, camouflaging, and neurotypical privilege: Towards a minority group model of neurodiversity. *Human Development*, *66*(2), 113-127.

Ramnanan, N. (2022). *Developing Soft Skills: Faculty and Employer Perspectives and Recommendations* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

Raymaker, D. M., Sharer, M., Maslak, J., Powers, L. E., McDonald, K. E., Kapp, S. K., ... & Nicolaidis, C. (2022). "[I] don't wanna just be like a cog in the machine": Narratives of autism and skilled employment. *Autism*, 13623613221080813.

Rogers, S. J., & Vismara, L. A. (2008). Evidence-based comprehensive treatments for early autism. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, *37*(1), 8-38.

Saleh, M. C., Chang, H. Y., Bruyère, S. M., & Vogus, T. J. (2022). Neurodiverse Applicant Screening, Interviewing, and Selection. In *Neurodiversity in the Workplace* (pp. 98-123). Routledge.

Santos, S., Ferreira, H., Martins, J., Gonçalves, J., & Castelo-Branco, M. (2022). Male sex bias in early and late onset neurodevelopmental disorders: Shared aspects and differences in Autism Spectrum Disorder, Attention Deficit/hyperactivity Disorder, and Schizophrenia. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 104577.

Schall, C. M. (2010). Positive behavior support: Supporting adults with autism spectrum disorders in the workplace. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, *32*(2), 109-115.

Scott, M., Falkmer, M., Falkmer, T., & Girdler, S. (2018). Evaluating the effectiveness of an autism-specific workplace tool for employers: A randomised controlled trial. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, *48*(10), 3377-3392.

Scott, M., Falkmer, M., Kuzminski, R., Falkmer, T., & Girdler, S. (2022). Process evaluation of an autism-specific workplace tool for employers. *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *29*(8), 686-698.

Senokossoff, G. W. (2016). Developing reading comprehension skills in high-functioning children with autism spectrum disorder: A review of the research, 1990–2012. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, *32*(3), 223-246.

Shalev, I., Warrier, V., Greenberg, D. M., Smith, P., Allison, C., Baron-Cohen, S., ... & Uzefovsky, F. (2022). Reexamining empathy in autism: Empathic disequilibrium as a novel predictor of autism diagnosis and autistic traits. *Autism Research*, *15*(10), 1917-1928.

Shelton, R. C., Claiborne, J., Sidoryk-Wegrzynowicz, M., Reddy, R., Aschner, M., Lewis, D. A., & Mirnics, K. (2011). Altered expression of genes involved in inflammation and apoptosis in frontal cortex in major depression. *Molecular psychiatry*, *16*(7), 751-762.

Sian, G. (2013). Early career change among millennial US college graduates.

Singla, S., Murali, N., Arabshahi, F., Triantafyllou, S., & Batmanghelich, K. (2023). Augmentation by Counterfactual Explanation-Fixing an Overconfident Classifier. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (*pp. 4720-4730).

Smith-Spark, J. H., & Gordon, R. (2022). Automaticity and executive abilities in developmental dyslexia: A theoretical review. *Brain Sciences*, *12*(4), 446.

Song, C., Ali, F., Cobanoglu, C., Nanu, L., & Lee, S. H. J. (2022). The effect of biophilic design on customer's subjective well-being in the hotel lobbies. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, *52*, 264-274.

Sonuga-Barke, E., & Thapar, A. (2021). The neurodiversity concept: is it helpful for clinicians and scientists?. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, *8*(7), 559-561.

Stallings, J. W. (2022). *Special Interests in Art Therapy with Autistic People: A Neurodiversity-Positive Approach to Empower and Engage Participants*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

Stolman, M. (2022). International disability law: a practical approach to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

Strahilevitz, M. (2022). Professor Michal Strahilevitz Speaks to KTVU News about Quiet Quitting and Work-Life Balance.

Ulnicane, I., & Aden, A. (2023). Power and politics in framing bias in Artificial Intelligence policy. *Review of Policy Research*, *40*(5), 665-687.

van Harmelen, A. L., van Tol, M. J., Demenescu, L. R., van der Wee, N. J., Veltman, D. J., Aleman, A., ... & Elzinga, B. M. (2013). Enhanced amygdala reactivity to emotional faces in adults reporting childhood emotional maltreatment. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience*, *8*(4), 362-369.

Weber, G., Bertelli, M. O., Deb, S. S., Bakare, M. O., Kwok, H. W., & Parmenter, T. (2022). Educational and Training Opportunities. *Textbook of Psychiatry for Intellectual Disability and Autism Spectrum Disorder*, 869-886.

Wedgwood, H., & Atkinson, J. C. (1872). *A dictionary of English etymology*. Trübner & Company.

Whittenburg, H. N., Xu, Y., Thoma, C. A., Schall, C., & Ham, W. (2022). Effects of behavioral skills training with video modeling and in situ training on workplace conversational skills of students with autism. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 10883576221127971.

Will, L. A. (2022). Psychological aspects of diagnosis and treatment. *Orthodontics-E-Book: Current Principles and Techniques*, 227.

Wozniak, R. H., Leezenbaum, N. B., Northrup, J. B., West, K. L., & Iverson, J. M. (2017). The development of autism spectrum disorders: variability and causal complexity. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science*, *8*(1-2), e1426.

Xing, J., Zhang, Y., Xu, S., & Zeng, X. (2022). Nanomaterial assisted diagnosis of dopamine to determine attention deficit hyperactivity disorder-'an issue with chinese children'. *Process Biochemistry*.

Yavari Barhaghtalab, E., Asgari, P., Naderi, F., & Heydarie, A. (2022). The effect of cognitive rehabilitation on executive functions (decision-making, flexibility, emotion control) in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *medical journal of mashhad university of medical sciences*, *65*(3).

Yijun, Z., Suzanne, M., Jinfeng, Z., Deborah, R., & Melody, S. (2020). The Association between Green Space and Adolescents' Mental Well-Being: A Systematic Review.

DOI: 10.62608/2831-3550.1020