Assessment Report: Instructional Units (Lindenwood University)

of Data Use of Results: The results from the past three years of assessing all six Gen Ed SLOs have been disappointing in several respects: 1) the
ved 50 artifacts selected as ve of students' w from a sciplines to The results from the past three years of assessing all six Gen Ed SLOs have been disappointing in several respects: 1) the
three years of assessing all six Gen Ed SLOs have been disappointing in several respects: 1) the
three years of assessing all six Gen Ed SLOs have been disappointing in several respects: 1) the
results seem to suggest poor student learning or inadequate means of assessing that learning or both; and 2) our current avenues for closing the loop and making use of the findings are not satisfactory. More specific concerns include: -small number of artifacts; -conditions for assessing learning that may not be highly motivating for students -uncertainty as to whether or to what extent the SLOs are being specifically taught in the gen ed courses where those SLOs are relevant. With all of this in mind, we have decided to take these steps: 1) launch a Task Force on

	component will there be	score for Student Learning	Lindenwood Outcomes to
	an average rating of less	Outcome #4 was 2.27 on	examine and clarify the
	than 3.0.	a scale of 1-4, with 4 the	outcomes we expect of
		highest score possible.	our graduates; 2) launch
		Scores on the individual	a General Education Task
		components were as	Force to examine
		follows: sophistication of	possible new directions
		argument=2.48, analysis	for our gen ed curriculum
		of interdisciplinary	with the hope that a
		knowledge=2.31, objective	revitalized approach will
		perspective of issue=2.24,	generate new enthusiasm
		and ability to evaluate and	for the value of general
		synthesize	education; and 3)
		interdisciplinary	implement surveys of
		evidence=2.31. The	both students and faculty
		benchmark was not met	regarding our gen ed
		for any of the components.	program to gauge
			perceptions that might
6. Students will effectively	6. Graduating seniors will	6. We received 50 artifacts	give us insights as to key
engage in creative	complete a written	that faculty selected as	improvements for
thinking. (8)	assignment administered	representative of students'	consideration as well as
	in a J-term or LCIE Winter	ability to engage in	what student learning
	Term Special Topics class	creative thinking.	outcomes they consider
	that reflects their creative		most important.
	thinking skills. A		•
	multidisciplinary team of		
	faculty raters will rate		
	assignments using a		
	common rubric involving 3		
	components (originality		
	and innovation, risk-		
	taking, creative thinking		
	and effectiveness).		
	6a. 75% of the seniors will	6a. Only 2 out of 50, or	
	receive an average rating	4%, of the students	
	of at least 3.2 on a 4.0	received a score of 3.2	
	scale.	(80%) or higher. Hence,	
		the scores fell far short of	
		the benchmark.	

6b. On no individual component will there be an average rating of less than 3.0.	6b. The overall mean score for Student Learning Outcome #6 was 2.27. Scores on the individual components were as follows: originality and innovation=2.26, risk-taking=2.25, and creative thinking and effectiveness=2.27. The benchmark was not met for any of the components.
--	---